SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY MOSQUITO & VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT
7759 SOUTH AIRPORT WAY, STOCKTON, CA 95206
Telephone (209) 982-4675 Fax: (209) 982-0120
Website www.sjmmosquito.org Email: district@sjmosquito.org

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

AGENDA

Tuesday, June 18, 2019
1:00 P.M.
To Be Held at the San Joaquin County Agricultural Commissioner’s Office, 2101 E Earhart Avenue, Suite 100,
Calaveras Room, Stockton, CA 95206

All proceedings before the Board of Trustees are conducted in English. The District does not furnish interpreters and, if one is needed, it shall be the responsibility of the person needing one. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in the meetings of the District, please contact the Manager at (209) 982-4675 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting to enable the District to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility.

1. CALL TO ORDER; ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD — This time is reserved for members of the public to address the Board of Trustees relative to matters within the jurisdiction of the San Joaquin County Mosquito & Vector Control District. No action may be taken on non-agenda items unless authorized by law. Speakers should hold comments on items listed as a Public Hearing until the Hearing is opened. Comments will be limited to five minutes per person.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR
   a. Draft Minutes of the May 21, 2019 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees
   b. Expenditure and Financial reports for May 2019
   c. District activities report for May 2019
   d. Public Information & Outreach report for May 2019
   e. Manager’s report
   f. Correspondence

4. BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT: PRESENTATION OF FIRST DRAFT OF THE 2019-20 EXPENDITURE AND INCOME BUDGET

5. RESOLUTION 18/19-06 (DRAFT), A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO LEVY ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019-20, PRELIMINARILY APPROVING THE ENGINEER’S REPORT, AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE OF HEARING FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY MOSQUITO AND VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT’S MOSQUITO, VECTOR, AND DISEASE CONTROL ASSESSMENT

6. UPDATE ON STOCKTON OFFICE LOBBY AND MEN’S LOCKER / RESTROOM REMODEL PROJECT

7. CLOSED SESSION (Pursuant to CGC §54957.6)
   Conference with Labor Negotiators (§54957.6)
   Agency designated representatives: Eddie Lucchesi and Chris Eley
Employee organization: San Joaquin Mosquito Employees Association (SJMEA)
Unrepresented employees: Assistant Entomologist, Assistant Manager,
Administrative Assistant, Entomologist, Fish Hatchery Manager, Vector Ecologist,
Mosquito Control Supervisors, Public Information Officer, and Secretary

REPORT OF CLOSED SESSION

8. COMMENTS FROM TRUSTEES AND STAFF ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

9. OTHER BUSINESS; ANNOUNCEMENT OF FUTURE BOARD AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS

- The next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees will be 1:00 p.m. Tuesday, July 16, 2019 (Agricultural Commissioner's Office)

10. ADJOURN
Board Meeting Information

To: Board of Trustees
From: Eddie Lucchesi, Manager
CC: Chris Eley, Legal Counsel
Date: 6/13/2019
Re: June 18, 2019 BOT Meeting, Agenda Item 3

3. CONSENT CALENDAR
   a. Draft minutes of the May 19, 2019 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees
   b. Expenditure and Financial reports for May, 2019
   c. District activities report for May, 2019
   d. Public Information and Outreach report for May, 2019
   e. Manager’s report
   f. Correspondence

The Consent Calendar consists of items that require approval or acceptance but are self-explanatory, the Expenditure and Financial Report will be reviewed prior to Board approval. In addition, if the Board would like to discuss any other item listed, it may be pulled from the Consent Calendar and discussed separately.

If there are no items that the Board would like to discuss separately, it is recommended that the Board of Trustees approve the Consent Calendar once presented in its entirety.

Attachments
1. Call to Order
The regular meeting of the Board of Trustees of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District was held Tuesday, May 21, 2019, at the District's Stockton office. Vice President Haskin called the meeting to order at 1:05 p.m.

Trustees Present:  
Gary Haskin  
Gary Lambdin  
Jay Colombini  
Francis Groen  
Greg O'Leary  
Greg Selna

Staff Members Present:  
Eddie Lucchesi, Manager  
John Fritz, Assistant Manager  
Jamie Tuggle, Secretary  
Emily Nicholas, Administrative Assistant

Trustees Absent:  
Mike Manna  
Marc Warmerdam  
Omar Khweiss

Legal Advisor: Chris Eley, Attorney at Law

Other: none

2. Public Comment Period
There was no public comment

3. Consent Calendar
a. Minutes of April 16, 2019 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees  
d. Manager's report  
e. Correspondence

Following review and discussion of the Consent Calendar, it was moved by Trustee Groen, seconded by Trustee Selna, to approve the Consent Calendar as presented; motion passed unanimously.

Budget Committee Chairman Trustee Lambdin stated the current and projected budgets were thoroughly presented, and all of the Committees questions were thoroughly answered. He hopes that at the next meeting they will have a more condensed report. Manager Lucchesi also stated that they will have a draft budget for the Committee to review.

5. Update on the Stockton office lobby and men’s locker/restroom remodel project.

Manager Lucchesi briefed the Board on the construction project. He stated the shop building and the west side of the Stockton office will be the first phase of the project. To date, work included removing all fire cabinets, safety and cleaning supply cabinets, individual desk, book shelves, refrigerator, break table, large map printer, and wall fixtures in preparation for demolition. Diede Construction initiated demolition work on May 1, 2019 in the shop building. All plumbing fixtures were removed, lockers dismantled, and manway jackhammered for access way to the future restroom addition. The walkway to the office was removed and a temporary boardwalk was constructed to maintain access to the front office. The office building will be completed in two phases in order to mitigate interruption to office work activities. The west side of the building will be the first phase, which includes the breakroom, file storage/hallway and offices for Assistant Manager, PIO, and two Mosquito Control Supervisors. The Assistant Manager and the PIO have temporarily moved into the conference room as a shared office location, and it also serves as the temporary break/lunchroom. Two portable units were brought in to the yard, with one serving as the temporary men’s locker room and the other as the temporary office for the two Mosquito Control Supervisors. Manager Lucchesi also informed the Board the Diede Construction stated they anticipate completion July 2 barring any interruptions.

6. CLOSED SESSION (Pursuant to CGC §54957.6)

A. CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS (§54957.6)
   Agency designated representatives: Eddie Lucchesi and Chris Eley
   Employee organization: San Joaquin Mosquito Employees Association
   Unrepresented employees: Assistant Entomologist, Assistant Manager, Bookkeeper/Administrative Assistant, Entomologist, Fish Hatchery Manager, Laboratory Technician II/Microbiologist, Mosquito Control Supervisor, Public Information Officer, Secretary

Prior to convening to closed session, Vice President Haskin announced the reason for the closed session. The Board convened to closed session at 1:20 p.m. and reconvened to open session at 1:55 p.m.
REPORT OF CLOSED SESSION:

Vice President Haskin called upon Legal Counsel Eley to provide a report of the closed session. Legal Counsel Eley reported that the Board of Trustees met with Labor Negotiators regarding successor contract agreement, but no action was taken.

7. Comments from Trustees and staff on non-agenda items.
   Trustee Selna announced he will be unable to attend the June 2019 BOT meeting.

8. Other Business; Announcement of future Board and Committee meetings.
   - The next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees will be located at the San Joaquin County Agricultural Commissioner's Office at 1:00pm Tuesday, June 18, 2019.
   - Budget Committee meeting will be at 12:00 p.m. prior to the regular meeting. (Groen, Fiori, Colombini, & Lambdin)

9. Adjournment
   There being no further business, it was moved by Trustee Fiori, seconded by Trustee Colombini to adjourn the meeting at 2:07 p.m.; the motion passed unanimously.

__________________________________________________________
MR. GREG SELNA, BOARD SECRETARY

__________________________________________________________
MR. EDDIE LUCCHESI, MANAGER
## San Joaquin County Mosquito & Vector Control District

### Budget and Actual Comparison

**July 2018 through May 2019**

**FY 2018-19, 91.6% Completed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jul '18 - May 19</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>% of Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Revenues</td>
<td>$539,344.52</td>
<td>$590,227.00</td>
<td>91.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>5,241,405.44</td>
<td>5,191,821.00</td>
<td>100.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>190,218.55</td>
<td>172,555.00</td>
<td>110.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental Revenues</td>
<td>109,204.65</td>
<td>116,639.00</td>
<td>93.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services</td>
<td>3,154,011.40</td>
<td>3,138,637.00</td>
<td>100.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td>9,234,184.56</td>
<td>9,209,879.00</td>
<td>100.26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **GENERAL EXPENDITURES** |                  |              |             |
| Salaries               | 2,302,768.25     | 2,761,683.00 | 83.38%      |
| Employment Benefits    | 2,231,083.96     | 2,592,081.00 | 86.07%      |
| General Services & Supplies | 2,665,905.59  | 2,982,660.00 | 89.38%      |
| **Total Expenditures** | 7,199,757.81     | 8,336,424.00 | 85.37%      |

|                      |                  |              |             |
| Other and Capital Expenditures |         |              |             |
| Other Charges         | 3,508.84         | 37,550.00    | 9.34%       |
| Capital Expenditures  | 171,588.19       | 825,000.00   | 20.8%       |
| **Total Other and Capital Expenditures** | 175,097.03 | 862,550.00 | 20.3%       |

<p>| <strong>NET CHANGE SURPLUS (DEFICIT)</strong> |          |              |             |
|                                   | $1,859,329.72 | $10,905.00   |             |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>GEN FUND BALANCE</th>
<th>OTHER FUND BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/01/2018</td>
<td>$9,686,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/2018</td>
<td>$10,774,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/2018</td>
<td>$11,864,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Fund Sources**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TOTAL REVENUES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/01/2018</td>
<td>$60,930,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/2018</td>
<td>$65,020,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/2018</td>
<td>$71,536,786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Operations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/01/2018</td>
<td>$60,847,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/2018</td>
<td>$65,020,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/2018</td>
<td>$71,536,786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**May 2019**

*San Joaquin Mosquito & Vector Control District*

6/22/2019
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACE Hardware</td>
<td>$269.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACME Saw N Industrial Supply</td>
<td>126.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adagco</td>
<td>127,831.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airgas</td>
<td>118.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon Marketplace</td>
<td>275.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association Outsource Services, Inc.</td>
<td>241.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T</td>
<td>1,316.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Alarm</td>
<td>234.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Valley Ford</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big W Sales</td>
<td>309.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bills Mower and Saw</td>
<td>46.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brash Media</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttes/Center State Pipe Supply</td>
<td>255.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CalPERS</td>
<td>53,009.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Valley Hardware Co.</td>
<td>179.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Chevrolet Co.</td>
<td>230.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cintas (G&amp;K Services)</td>
<td>1,192.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Lodi</td>
<td>329.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Stockton</td>
<td>241.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke Mosquito Control</td>
<td>22,407.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Welders Supply</td>
<td>1,033.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connolly's Locksmith Safe Services</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Outdoor Environments, Inc.</td>
<td>410.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Dental</td>
<td>5,020.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Industrial Solutions</td>
<td>310.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Sound &amp; Telephone</td>
<td>982.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diede Construction, Inc.</td>
<td>68,032.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorfman-Pacific Co., Inc.</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elite IV Contractors Inc.</td>
<td>281.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grainger</td>
<td>12.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammarly, Inc.</td>
<td>83.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.D. Hudson Manufacturing Company</td>
<td>295.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HerLife Magazine</td>
<td>575.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon Distributor</td>
<td>81.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS of Sacramento Valley</td>
<td>226.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iLand Internet Solutions</td>
<td>402.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Building Maintenance</td>
<td>1,528.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Lewis, Attorney at Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodi News Sentinel</td>
<td>324.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowe's</td>
<td>40.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayaco, Marketing&amp;Internet</td>
<td>10,405.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Station 76</td>
<td>12.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Mini Solutions</td>
<td>1,965.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nestle Pure Life Direct, Nestle Water</td>
<td>197.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Depot</td>
<td>352.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Gas&amp;Electric Co.</td>
<td>2,208.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panera Bread</td>
<td>79.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinasco</td>
<td>8,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precissi Flying Service</td>
<td>4,398.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raley's</td>
<td>9.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin County Dept of Public Works</td>
<td>56.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin County ISD</td>
<td>2,758.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin County, Agricultural Commision</td>
<td>240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin Magazine</td>
<td>550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI Consulting Group</td>
<td>4,614.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJ Valley Stormwater Quality Partnership</td>
<td>220.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart&amp;Final</td>
<td>242.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stericycle Inc.</td>
<td>204.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton Auto Parts</td>
<td>216.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton Filter Supply Co., Inc.</td>
<td>477.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton Petroleum Co.</td>
<td>13,215.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton Scavengers Association, Inc</td>
<td>241.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankology/NDE Corp.</td>
<td>488.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target (Retail Outlet)</td>
<td>45.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Specialty Products</td>
<td>1,560.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Home Depot CRC/GECF</td>
<td>206.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Record</td>
<td>414.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIAA Bank</td>
<td>280.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Hillier Ford</td>
<td>1,546.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U Haul</td>
<td>10.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univar</td>
<td>27,532.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unum Life Insurance</td>
<td>2,870.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Telecom</td>
<td>447.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector Control Joint Powers Agency</td>
<td>784.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verizon Wireless</td>
<td>286.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision Service Plan CA</td>
<td>727.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wal Mart</td>
<td>53.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walgreens</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEX Bank</td>
<td>3,068.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Sale Outlet</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilbur-Ellis</td>
<td>1,810.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 382,536.73</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTINUATION OF SWIMMING POOL INSPECTIONS

District staff continued to inspect pools that were identified as empty in last summer’s aerial pool flyover and from pools that have been problematic in previous years.

GENERAL FIELD ACTIVITIES

Record rainfall totals highlighted weather conditions in May. The heavy rainfall will likely lead to increased urban mosquito counts as backyard mosquito breeding sources throughout the county were refilled with rainwater. The heavy rainfall also kept many seasonal spring sources wet for a longer period of time thus requiring more attention from District employees. High flows and river seepage have remained on the Mokelumne River and operations will be treating those seepage sites well into July.

The first rice larvicide treatments were made in May. Currently, there is 3900 acres of rice planted this year which is an increase of 460 acres from last year. The vast majority of rice remains in the Delta area with only 93 acres left in Escalon. Rice treatments will continue to be made through the year until the fields are drained for harvest in September.

Staff has been engaging cities and municipalities regarding trash capture devices that most of them will be adding to their storm drainage system. A State mandate requires Cities to eliminate all trash going into waterways over the next 10 years. This is partially achieved by adding inserts into individual catch basins or larger units within the high-flow segment of the drainage system. The District’s interest lies in our need to be able to treat and inspect the basins adequately with these devices in place.

DISTRICT STAFFING

Two seasonal utility positions were filled in June to primarily assist the lab in its weekly surveillance. The Mosquito Control Technician and Fish Hatchery Technician positions were filled as well and those new employees will begin the first in June.
TOTAL TREATMENT WORK CONDUCTED DURING THE MONTH OF MAY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUND WORK</th>
<th>AERIAL WORK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1119 Acs Larvicided</td>
<td>447 Acs Larvicided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1360 Acs Adulticided</td>
<td>0 Acs Adulticided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Acs Herbicided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SERVICE REQUESTS

- 160 Mosquitoes
- 59 Fish Requests
- 103 Neglected Pools

WORK SCHEDULED FOR JUNE

- CONTINUE TO INSPECT NEGLECTED SWIMMING POOLS.
- CONTINUE REGULAR ZONE INSPECTIONS.
- CONTINUE RIVER SEEPAGE INSPECTIONS ON MOKELUMNE RIVER.
- COMPLETE CATCH BASIN TREATMENTS.
- COMPLETE CEMETERY URN TREATMENTS.
PUBLIC INFORMATION & OUTREACH MONTH END REPORT
For month ending May, 2019

To: Ed Lucchesi, Manager
From: Aaron Devencenzi, PIO

Monthly Activities

- **District Communications**: A contract was signed for newspaper and digital advertisement in the Record. The agreement will run through September, with ads regarding the mosquitofish giveaway, the use of repellent during July 4th and Labor Day. The 2019 mosquitofish giveaway schedule was developed. Administrators from each of the seven cities in San Joaquin County were contacted and approved the use of their sites. We also signed a contract with Stott advertising for bus ads in Stockton. Three buses will run large ads, and two will run smaller ads.

- **Advertisement**: The District had an ad in the Record for removing standing water. Also, a half page ad ran in San Joaquin magazine. During May, radio spots ran on local and general market stations. The District also had ads running on the Hwy 99 digital billboard’s south face throughout May. A half page ad was developed for the San Joaquin Farm Bureau’s June “Dairy month” paper.

- **Events**: We attended Senior Awareness Day at the San Joaquin County Fairgrounds. We provided information on mosquitoes, ticks, and district services. Also, DEET wipes and DEET spray cans were given away. Contact was made with 350 people.

- **Presentations**: A presentation was given to the Central Valley Realtors Association with 35 people in attendance. The second presentation was given to the Lockeford Municipal Advisory Council with 12 people in attendance. Both presentations covered mosquito and tick prevention, district operations and services, as well as an update on invasive mosquitoes.

- **Social Media**: One post was made to the District’s Facebook page. Due to May rains, we reminded people to drain standing water on their properties. The Facebook post amounted to 575 people reached and 63 engagements. The same ad that was printed in the Record was used as a Facebook post.

- **Website**: From May 1 through May 30, there were 4,398 visitors to the website averaging 146 visitors per day. Updates were made to the site, including the mosquitofish giveaway schedule, financial audit report, and the board minutes/agenda.

- **Education**: We attended two school farm days. The first was at Great Valley School in Weston Ranch. We gave four presentations to 128 students and staff. The second was at Brock Elliott School, where we provided 16 presentations with 480 students and staff attending.

- **Safety**: I purchased safety supplies for staff.
Manager’s Report

For the period May 15, 2019 – June 12, 2019

- Work continues on the District’s remodel project. The shop building improvements are on schedule. The break / ready room area is complete with new paint and flooring. Progress on the new men’s restroom included installation of all necessary sewer lines, completion of concrete flooring, and the installation of the masonry walls. The next step includes the framing of the roof and associated sheathing. I met with representatives from Dieder Construction and LDA partners to review the progress of the project. Specifically, we reviewed the engineering requirements associated with the removal and replacement of the windows in the office building. Initially, the review identified the existing window frames not to be load bearing; however, upon further investigation it was determined a structural header will be required in order to accept the upgraded laminated glass. The only location not requiring an upgraded header is in the conference room. More detail will be provided during the Board meeting. (Agenda Item #6).

- Emily Nicholas and I continued work on the 2019-20 budget by finalizing our anticipated expenses for the next fiscal year. Last month, the Budget Committee and staff reviewed comparisons of the 2018-19 budget projections and actual revenue and expenditures through the month of April. Based on those numbers, we were able to better establish an accurate picture relative to routine expenditures, and examine the needs of the District. On the income side, the County Auditor projected property tax revenues to increase by approximately 5%. Based on that increase, the Benefit Assessment rates will remain unchanged from last year, as illustrated in the engineers report. The District’s overall revenue base is projected to increase by approximately 4%. We presented a budget status report to the Board’s Budget Committee at their May 21st committee meeting, and a draft 2019-20 budget will be presented to the committee at their June 18th meeting. A detailed report from the Budget Committee will be provided during the Board meeting (Agenda Item #4).

- Operationally, a combination of late unseasonable rain, followed by above average temperatures, has resulted in high numbers of aggressive Aedes mosquitoes actively searching for blood meals in north County. In addition, the first detection of West Nile virus infected mosquitoes for 2019 were recovered from our traps in Tracy and Ripon. The District received numerous mosquito complaints from the Lodi, Thornton, and Woodbridge area during the week of June 10, 2019. The complaints were expansive, with reports of aggressive mosquitoes actively biting Lodi residents in various city parks, farm workers in vineyards around Thornton, olive groves on Canal Ranch, and during onion harvesting operations on Bishop Tract. The District expanded our trapping locations near the complaints, to determine the species of mosquito active in those affected areas. The mosquito species collected will provide our technicians with beneficial information on what source type to concentrate their efforts on. Preliminarily, it appears we have a combination of conditions that could be the cause of the mosquito problem. Most notably, are the releases from Camanche reservoir into the Mokelumne River. As of today, East Bay MUD is releasing 3,300 CFS. Typically the releases are closer to 1,000 CFS during this time of year. Residents along the Mokelumne River have reported local seepage along the river corridor. The District dispatched Precissi Flying service to larvicide several areas along the river. Additionally, we scheduled two large scale adulticiding aerial assaults over north Lodi, Woodbridge, Thornton, and sites west. Ground units will address the mosquito activity located in the city parks and south Lodi.
Board Meeting Information

To: Board of Trustees
From: Eddie Lucchesi, Manager
CC: Chris Elley, Legal Counsel
Date: 6/13/2019
Re: June 2019 BOT Meeting, Agenda Item 4

4. BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT; PRESENTATION OF FIRST DRAFT OF 2019-20 EXPENDITURE AND INCOME BUDGET

The Board of Trustee's Budget Committee is scheduled to meet at 12:00 p.m. on Tuesday, June 18, 2019. The Budget Committee consists of trustees Lambdin (Chair), Fiori, Colombini, and Groen.

Attached is a copy of the meeting agenda and draft minutes of the May 21, 2019 meeting. A member of the Budget Committee will present a first draft of the 2019-20 budget for the Board’s information. The final draft will be presented for the Board’s approval at the July 2019 BOT meeting.

This item is for information only, and does not require Board action.

Attachments
San Joaquin County Mosquito & Vector Control District
7759 S. Airport Way Stockton, CA 95206

Budget Committee Meeting

June 18, 2019
12:00 P.M.

AGENDA

1. Call to order; roll call

2. Public comment period

3. Minutes of May 21, 2019 Budget Committee meeting

4. Review/discussion/recommendation of the draft 2019-20 expenditure and income budgets, including budget account and reserve fund designations, special tax rates, and benefit assessment rates for Zones A, B, and C

5. Other business; announcement of future committee meeting date(s) and time(s).

6. Adjourn.
San Joaquin County Mosquito & Vector Control District  
7759 S. Airport Way, Stockton 95206  

Minutes for the Board of Trustees’ Budget Committee  
Meeting of May 21, 2019

A regular meeting of the Budget Committee of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District was held at 12:00 p.m. May 21, 2019 at the District office.

Committee members present:  
Trustee Gary Lambdin, Chair  
Trustee Jack Fiori  
Trustee Francis Groen  
Trustee Jay Colombini

Others present:  
Eddie Lucchesi, Manager  
Emily Nicholas, Bookkeeper/Administrative Asst.

1. Call to order  
Chairman Lambdin called the meeting to order at 11:30 a.m. and reported attendance of committee members present.

2. Public comments  
None

Manager Lucchesi and Bookkeeper Nicholas reviewed the status of expenditures and revenues through April 30, 2019. Items discussed included the status of individual expenditure accounts and the current fund balances.

4. Proposed changes for the 2019-20 Budget  
Manager Lucchesi discussed several aspects of the 2019-20 budget, which included no change in the benefit assessment rates and anticipated increase in property tax revenue, based on preliminary information received from the County Auditor’s office. Expenditure changes are expected in the area of Capital outlay – structures due to the remodeling of the Stockton office and men’s restroom / locker-room in the shop building as well as maintenance projects scheduled for the earthen fish-ponds at the District’s White Slough facility.

5. Other business  
Following review and discussion of the different agenda items, Manager Lucchesi announced he would schedule a meeting of the Budget Committee for 12:00 p.m. on June 18, 2018 (immediately prior to the regular Board of Trustees meeting) so that the committee could receive a comprehensive first look at the draft version of the 2019-20 income and expenditure budgets.

6. Adjourn  
The meeting concluded at 1:00 p.m. by consensus of those in attendance.
5. RESOLUTION 18/19-06 (DRAFT), A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO LEVY ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019-20, PRELIMINARILY APPROVING THE ENGINEER’S REPORT, AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE OF HEARING FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY MOSQUITO AND VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT’S MOSQUITO, VECTOR, AND DISEASE CONTROL ASSESSMENT

Attached is a proposed resolution that 1) preliminarily approves the engineer’s report, and 2) provides notice of a public hearing for the District’s Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control assessment. Enclosed is a copy of the Engineer’s report for the 2019-20 benefit assessment.

The engineer’s report is very similar to that of 2018-19, and includes the additional information for our different zones of benefit created nine years ago. The report includes information on the programs and services of the district, different vectors and vector-borne diseases, integrated pest management (IPM), public relations and outreach, research, estimated costs, and the method of assessment.

The resolution recognizes the creation of the engineer’s report, the intent of the Board to levy and collect the assessments in 2019-20, the total amount of the assessments, and notice of the public hearing re: levying the assessment that will be held July 16, 2019 in conjunction with the regularly-scheduled Board of Trustees meeting.

It is recommended that the Board thoroughly review the engineer’s report and the draft resolution, and following review and discussion, adopt the draft resolution as presented.

This item requires Board action.

Attachments
RESOLUTION NO. 18/19-06

A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO CONTINUE ASSESSMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019-20,
PRELIMINARILY APPROVING THE ENGINEER’S REPORT, AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE OF HEARING FOR
THE SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY MOSQUITO AND VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT
MOSQUITO, VECTOR AND DISEASE CONTROL ASSESSMENT

WHEREAS, on November 15th, 2005 by its Resolution No. 05/06-2, the Board of Trustees of the San Joaquin County
Mosquito and Vector Control District (the “Board”) authorized the levy of assessments for the Mosquito, Vector and
Disease Control Assessment (the “Assessment”) pursuant to the provisions of the Health and Safety Code section
2080 et seq. and Article XIIID of the California Constitution; and

WHEREAS, such mosquito, vector and disease control services provide tangible health benefits, reduced nuisance
benefits and other special benefits to the public and properties within the areas of such services; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Assessment is for mosquito abatement and vector control projects and programs
including, but not limited to, source reduction, larvicide and adulticide applications, disease monitoring, public
education, reporting, accountability, research and interagency cooperative activities, as well as capital costs,
maintenance and operation expenses (collectively “Services”); and

WHEREAS, the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District (“the District”) is authorized, pursuant to
the authority provided in Health and Safety Code Section 2082 and Article XIIID of the California Constitution, to
continue to levy assessments for mosquito, vector and disease control services; and

WHEREAS, the Assessment was authorized by an assessment ballot proceeding conducted in 2005 and approved
by 71.41% of the weighted ballots returned by property owners, and such assessments were levied by the Board by
Resolution No. 05/06-2 passed on November 15th, 2005; and

WHEREAS, an annual adjustment to the Assessment rate equal to the change in the Consumer Price Index-U for
the San Francisco Bay Area as of December of each succeeding year (the “CPI”), with a maximum annual adjustment
not to exceed 3%, was also authorized by the assessment ballot proceeding conducted in 2005;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector
Control District that:

1. SCI Consulting Group, the Engineer of Work, has prepared an engineer’s report in accordance with
   Article XIIID of the California Constitution and Section 2082, et. seq., of the Health and Safety Code (the
   “Report*). The Report has been made, filed with the secretary of the board and duly considered by the
   Board and is hereby deemed sufficient and preliminarily approved. The Report shall stand as the
   Engineer’s Report for all subsequent proceedings under and pursuant to the foregoing resolution.

2. It is the intention of this Board to continue and to collect assessments for the Mosquito, Vector and
   Disease Control Assessment for fiscal year 2019-20 for the proposed projects and services set forth in
   the Report. Within the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District, the proposed projects
   and services are generally described as mosquito, vector and disease control services and projects such
   as surveillance, source reduction, identification and elimination of removable breeding locations,
   identification and treatment of breeding and source locations, application of materials to eliminate larvae,
disease surveillance and monitoring, public education, reporting, accountability, research and interagency cooperative activities as well as capital costs, maintenance and operation expenses (the "Services").

3. The Assessment may be continued annually and may be adjusted by up to the maximum annual CPI adjustment without any additional assessment ballot proceeding. The change in the CPI in 2018 is 4.49%, which is more than the 3.00% maximum allowed annual increase. The change in the CPI in excess of the maximum annual adjustment accumulated is 0.64%. As a result, the total increase in the CPI that has not been applied to the Assessment rate is 0.64%. Therefore, the maximum authorized increase in the Assessment rate for fiscal year 2019-20 is 3.0%, and the maximum authorized assessment rates are $10.02 for Zone A, $9.52 for Zone B, and $10.58 for Zone C, per single family equivalent benefit unit.

4. The estimated fiscal year 2019-20 cost of providing the Services is $2,074,163. This cost results in a proposed assessment rate for Zone A, B and C for fiscal year 2019-20 as follows:

a. Zone A: EIGHT DOLLARS AND EIGHTY-FOUR CENTS ($8.84) per single family equivalent unit (SFE).

b. Zone B: EIGHT DOLLARS AND FORTY CENTS ($8.40) per single family equivalent unit (SFE).

c. Zone C: NINE DOLLARS AND TWENTY-NINE CENTS ($9.29) per single family equivalent unit (SFE).

Reference is hereby made to the Report for a full and detailed description of the proposed assessments upon assessable lots and parcels of land.

5. Notice is hereby given that on July 16, 2019, at the hour of 1:00 p.m. at the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District, 7759 South Airport Way Stockton, California; the Board will hold a public hearing to consider the ordering of the Services, and the continuation of the assessments for fiscal year 2019-20.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Board of Trustees of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District, State of California on June 18, 2019 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

Omar Khweiss, President

Greg Selna, Secretary
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY MOSQUITO & VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT
MOSQUITO, VECTOR AND DISEASE CONTROL ASSESSMENT

ENGINEER’S REPORT – DRAFT

FISCAL YEAR 2019-20
JUNE 2019

Pursuant to the Government Code, Health and Safety Code and Article XIII D of the California Constitution

Engineer of Work:
SCI Consulting Group
4745 MANGELS BOULEVARD
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INTRODUCTION

OVERVIEW

The San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District ("District") currently provides mosquito and vectorborne disease control services throughout San Joaquin County. The District has been providing its public health protection services in San Joaquin County for over 65 years.

The District was formed in 1945 at the request of homeowners and farmers who were being impacted by significant numbers of uncontrolled disease carrying and pest mosquitoes. Before the creation of the district, outdoor activities were restricted in many areas, prompting business owners and civic leaders to push for a comprehensive mosquito control program. Since that time, the District has significantly reduced overall mosquito populations and has increased the level of vectorborne disease surveillance and control.

The District's services cover over 1,400 square miles and are provided to properties accommodating over 650,000 residents. The District is governed by a Board of Trustees, comprised of one member appointed by the city council of each of the incorporated cities within the County: Escalon, Lathrop, Lodi, Manteca, Ripon, Stockton and Tracy; and four members appointed by the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors. The assessment diagram on page 53 depicts the District’s service area.

The District’s core services are summarized as follows:

- Early detection of public health threats through comprehensive vector and disease surveillance.
- Elimination and control of mosquitoes and to diminish the nuisance and harm caused by mosquitoes.
- Reducing vectors or exposure to vectors that transmit diseases.
- Appropriate, timely response to requests to prevent/control mosquitoes, other vectors, and the diseases they can transmit.

ASSESSMENT FORMATION AND CONTINUATION

In order to allow property owners to ultimately decide whether funding should be provided for the services summarized above, the Board authorized the initiation of proceedings for a benefit assessment in 2005. The assessment was named the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment (the “Assessment Area”). In September and October of 2005, the District conducted an assessment ballot proceeding pursuant to the requirements of Article XIXID of the California Constitution ("The Taxpayer's Right to Vote on Taxes Act") and the Government Code. During this ballot proceeding, owners of property in the Assessment Area were provided with a notice and ballot for the special assessment. A 45-day period was provided for balloting and a public hearing was conducted on November 1, 2005.
After the conclusion of the public input portion of the public hearing, all valid received ballots were tabulated by Croce & Company, an independent accounting and auditing firm. At the continued public hearing on November 15, 2005, after the ballots were tabulated, it was determined that the assessment ballots submitted in opposition to the assessments did not exceed the assessment ballots submitted in favor of the assessments (with each ballot weighted by the proportional financial obligation of the property for which the ballot was submitted). The final balloting result was 71.41% weighted support from ballots returned.

As a result, the Board gained the authority to approve the levy of the assessments for fiscal year 2006-07 and to continue to levy in future years. The Board took action, by Resolution No. 05/06-5 passed on November 15, 2005, to approve the first year levy of the assessments for fiscal year 2006-07. The authority granted by the ballot proceeding was for a first year assessment rate of $7.58 per single family home, increased each subsequent year by the San Francisco Area CPI (Consumer Price Index) not to exceed 3% per year.

This Engineer’s Report ("Report") was prepared by SCI Consulting Group (SCI) to establish the estimated costs for the mosquito, disease surveillance and control services, supplies, equipment, facilities and related costs that will be funded by the assessments, to determine the special benefits and general benefits received from the services and to apportion the assessments to lots and parcels within the District based on the estimated special benefit each parcel receives from the services funded by the benefit assessment.

In each subsequent year for which the assessments will be continued, the Board will publish in a local newspaper a legal notice of the intent to continue the assessments for the next fiscal year and the date when the Board will hold a public hearing. At the annual public hearing, members of the public can provide input to the Board prior to the Board’s decision on continuing the services and assessments for the next fiscal year. The Board must approve at this meeting a budget for the upcoming fiscal year’s costs and services, an updated annual Engineer’s Report, and an updated assessment roll listing all parcels and their assessments for the upcoming fiscal year. After the conclusion of the public input portion of the hearing the Board may take action, by resolution, to approve the continuation of the assessments for fiscal year 2019-20.

If the Board approves this Engineer’s Report and the assessments it establishes for fiscal year 2019-20, the assessments would be submitted to the County Auditor for inclusion on the property tax rolls for fiscal year 2019-20.

ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW
The District provided a “baseline” level of mosquito, vector and disease control services in the County. The services that are now being provided to the Assessment Area and that are funded by the Assessment consist of expanded services, as listed below, above the existing baseline level of services currently provided. In absence of the Assessments, properties would not receive the improved services, so the Services are clearly over and above the baseline, or level of mosquito, vector and disease control services that otherwise would be provided.
The following is an outline of the enhanced services, programs and related costs that are funded by the mosquito, vector and disease control assessment:

- Mosquito control and abatement
- Surveillance for vectorborne diseases
- Mosquito inspections
- Response to service requests
- Mosquitofish for backyard fish ponds and other appropriate habitats
- Presentations to schools and civic groups
- Mosquito surveillance and disease testing
- Upgrading of the facilities and equipment utilized by the District
- Tick surveillance and Lyme disease prevention services

The Assessment Area was narrowly drawn to include only properties that may request and/or receive direct and more frequent service, that are located within the scope of the vector surveillance area, that are located within flying or traveling distance of potential vector sources monitored by the District, and that benefit from a reduction in the amount of vectors reaching and impacting the property as a result of the enhanced vector surveillance and control. The Assessment Diagram included in this report shows the boundaries of the Assessment District.

As used within this Report and the benefit assessment ballot proceeding, the following terms are defined:

"Vector" means any animal capable of transmitting the causative agent of human disease or capable of producing human discomfort or injury, including, but not limited to, mosquitoes, flies, mites, ticks, other arthropods, and rodents and other vertebrates (Health and Safety Code Section 2002(k)).

"Vector Control" means any system of public improvements or services that is intended to provide for the surveillance, prevention, abatement, and control of vectors as defined in subdivision (k) of Section 2002 of the Health and Safety Code and a past as defined in Section 5006 of the Food and Agricultural Code (Government Code Section 53750(j)).

The District operates under the authority of the Mosquito Abatement and Vector Control District Law of the State of California. Following are excerpts from the Mosquito Abatement and Vector Control District Law of 2002, codified in the Health and Safety Code, Section 2000, et. seq., which serve to summarize the State Legislature's findings and intent with regard to mosquito abatement and other vector control services.
2001. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(1) California's climate and topography support a wide diversity of biological organisms.

(2) Most of these organisms are beneficial, but some are vectors of human disease pathogens or directly cause other human diseases such as hypersensitivity, envenomization, and secondary infections.

(3) Some of these diseases, such as mosquito-borne viral encephalitis, can be fatal, especially in children and older individuals.

(4) California's connections to the wider national and international economies increase the transport of vectors and pathogens.

(5) Invasions of the United States by vectors such as the Asian tiger mosquito and by pathogens such as the West Nile virus underscore the vulnerability of humans to uncontrolled vectors and pathogens.

(b) The Legislature further finds and declares:

(1) Individual protection against the vectorborne diseases is only partially effective.

(2) Adequate protection of human health against vectorborne diseases is best achieved by organized public programs.

(3) The protection of Californians and their communities against the discomforts and economic effects of vectorborne diseases is an essential public service that is vital to public health, safety, and welfare.

(4) Since 1915, mosquito abatement and vector control districts have protected Californians and their communities against the threats of vectorborne diseases.

(c) In enacting this chapter, it is the intent of the Legislature to create and continue a broad statutory authority for a class of special districts with the power to conduct effective programs for the surveillance, prevention, abatement, and control of mosquitoes and other vectors.

(d) It is also the intent of the Legislature that mosquito abatement and vector control districts cooperate with other public agencies to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. Further, the Legislature encourages local communities and local officials to adopt the powers and procedures provided by this chapter to meet the diversity of their own local circumstances and responsibilities.

Further the Health and Safety Code, Section 2082 specifically authorizes the creation of benefit assessments for vector control, as follows:

(a) A district may levy special benefit assessments consistent with the requirements of Article XIIID of the California Constitution to finance vector control projects and programs.

This Engineer's Report ("Report") was prepared by SCI Consulting Group ("SCI") to describe the vector control services to be funded by the proposed assessment (the "Services"), to establish the estimated costs for those Services, to determine the special benefits and general benefits received by property from the Services and to apportion the assessments.
to lots and parcels within the District based on the estimated special benefit each parcel receives from the Services funded by the benefit assessment.

LEGAL ANALYSIS

PROPOSITION 218

This assessment was formed consistent with Proposition 218, The Right to Vote on Taxes Act, which was approved by the voters of California on November 6, 1996, and is now Article XIIIC and XIIID of the California Constitution. Proposition 218 provides for benefit assessments to be levied to fund the cost of providing services, improvements, as well as maintenance and operation expenses to a public improvement which benefits the assessed property.

Proposition 218 describes a number of important requirements, including a property-owner ballot requirement, for the formation and continuation of assessments, and these requirements are satisfied by the process used to establish this assessment. When Proposition 218 was initially approved in 1996, it allowed for certain types of assessments to be “grandfathered” in, and these were exempted from the property-owner ballot requirement.

Beginning July 1, 1997, all existing, new, or increased assessments shall comply with this article. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the following assessments existing on the effective date of this article shall be exempt from the procedures and approval process set forth in Section 4:

(a) Any assessment imposed exclusively to finance the capital costs or maintenance and operation expenses for sidewalks, streets, sewers, water, flood control, drainage systems or vector control.

Vector control was specifically “grandfathered in,” underscoring the fact that the drafters of Proposition 218 and the voters who approved it were satisfied that funding for vector control is an appropriate use of benefit assessments, and therefore confers special benefit to property.

SILICON VALLEY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION, INC. v. SANTA CLARA COUNTY OPEN SPACE AUTHORITY

In July of 2008, the California Supreme Court issued its ruling on the Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association, Inc. v. Santa Clara County Open Space Authority ("SVTA vs. SCCOSA"). This ruling is the most significant legal document in further legally clarifying Proposition 218. Several of the most important elements of the ruling included further emphasis that:

- Benefit assessments are for special benefit to property, not general benefits

---

1 Article XII D, § 2, subdivision (d) of the California Constitution states defines “district” as “an area determined by an agency to contain all parcels which will receive a special benefit from the proposed public improvement or property-related service.”
• The services and for improvements funded by assessments must be clearly defined
• Special benefits are directly received by and provide a direct advantage to property
  in the assessment area

This Engineer's Report, and the process used to establish this assessment are consistent
with the SVTA vs. SCCOSA decision. There have been a number of clarifications made to
the analysis, findings and supporting text in this Report to ensure that this consistency is
well communicated.

Dahms v. DOWNTOWN POMONA PROPERTY
On June 8, 2009, the 4th Court of Appeal amended its original opinion upholding a benefit
assessment for property in the downtown area of the City of Pomona. On July 22, 2009, the
California Supreme Court denied review. On this date, Dahms became good law and
binding precedent for assessments. In Dahms the court upheld an assessment that was
100% special benefit (i.e. 0% general benefit) on the rationale that the services and
improvements funded by the assessments were directly provided to property in the
assessment district. The Court also upheld discounts and exemptions from the assessment
for certain properties.

Bonander v. TOWN OF TIBURON
On December 31, 2009, the 1st District Court of Appeal overturned a benefit assessment
approved by property owners to pay for placing overhead utility lines underground in an area
of the Town of Tiburon. The Court invalidated the assessments on the grounds that the
assessments had been apportioned to assessed property based in part on relative costs
within sub-areas of the assessment district instead of proportional special benefits.

Beutz v. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
On May 26, 2010, the 4th District Court of Appeal issued a decision on the Steven Beutz v.
County of Riverside (“Beutz”) appeal. This decision overturned an assessment for park
maintenance in Wildomar, California, primarily because the general benefits associated with
improvements and services were not explicitly calculated, quantified and separated from the
special benefits.

GOLDEN HILL NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF SAN DIEGO
On September 22, 2011, the San Diego Court of Appeal issued a decision on the Golden
Hill Neighborhood Association v. City of San Diego appeal. This decision overturned an
assessment for street and landscaping maintenance in the Greater Golden Hill
neighborhood of San Diego, California. The court described two primary reasons for its
decision. First, like in Beutz, the court found the general benefits associated with services
were not explicitly calculated, quantified and separated from the special benefits. Second,
the court found that the City had failed to record the basis for the assessment on its own
parcels.
COMPLIANCE WITH CURRENT LAW

This Engineer's Report is consistent with the requirements of Article XIIIIC and XIIIID of the California Constitution and with the SVTA decision because the Services to be funded are clearly defined; the Services are available to and will be directly provided to all benefiting property in the Assessment District; and the Services provide a direct advantage to property in the Assessment District that would not be received in absence of the Assessments.

This Engineer's Report is consistent with Dahms because, similar to the Downtown Pomona assessment validated in Dahms, the Services will be directly provided to property in the Assessment District. Moreover, while Dahms could be used as the basis for a finding of 0% general benefits, this Engineer's Report establishes a more conservative measure of general benefits.

The Engineer's Report is consistent with Bonander because the Assessments have been apportioned based on the overall cost of the Services and proportional special benefit to each property. Finally, the Assessments are consistent with Beutz and Greater Golden Hill because the general benefits have been explicitly calculated and quantified and excluded from the Assessments.
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROGRAM AND SERVICES

ABOUT THE VECTOR CONTROL PROGRAM

The San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District operates as a special government agency that protects people, wildlife and property by controlling and monitoring disease-carrying insects such as mosquitoes. In addition, the District regularly tests for diseases carried by mosquitoes and ticks, and educates the public about how to protect themselves from diseases transmitted by mosquitoes and ticks.

The District staff currently consists of 35 regular employees, including a Manager, Assistant Manager, Public Information Officer, Entomologist, Assistant Entomologist, Fish Facility Manager, Fish Hatchery Assistant, 3 Mosquito Control Supervisors, 19 Mosquito Control Technicians, 23 Vector Control Technicians, 2 laboratory technicians, 2 mechanics, and 2 Office Support Specialists. Temporary employees are hired on an as-needed basis, to the extent allowed by the District's limited financial resources. In addition to their scheduled duties, District technicians respond to service requests from the public for mosquitoes and vector control issues. Office staff handles many other requests at the time of initial contact.

The agency is governed by the District’s Board of Trustees. The Board meetings are held at 1:00 p.m. on the third Tuesday of each month.

HISTORY OF THE DISTRICT

The following text provides an historical overview to the District and mosquito and vector control services in San Joaquin County:

The first attempts at modern mosquito control in San Joaquin County were traced to the early 1930's when officials of the San Joaquin Local Health District enlisted the aid of the Civilian Conservation Corps to clear brush along streams to reduce mosquito-producing stagnant water. Additionally, a 1934-newspaper article described how public works employees in the City of Manteca cleaned ditches and sprayed diesel oil on stagnant water to control mosquito larvae. Armed with information about mosquito-borne diseases such as malaria and encephalitis, and up-to-date control methods learned from World War II, northern county residents petitioned the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors in 1945 to create a mosquito abatement district. By Board action, the Northern San Joaquin County Mosquito Abatement District was formed to provide comprehensive mosquito control for the City of Lodi and a large portion of unincorporated area north of Eight Mile Road. The San Joaquin Mosquito Abatement District was formed in 1955, encompassing the balance of the county and including Stockton, Manteca, Tracy, Escalon, and Ripon. These two districts operated independently until 1980, when by mutual consent of both Boards of Trustees, the Local Agency Formation Commission and the
Board of Supervisors, the districts consolidated to form the San Joaquin County Mosquito Abatement District.

Due to increased public concern for new insect-borne diseases such as Lyme disease, and the migration and introduction of vectors such as Africanized Honey Bees, the District expanded its mission in 1993 to include other insects of public health importance. Currently named the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District, this independent special district provides comprehensive vector surveillance and control for the residents of San Joaquin County.

DESCRIPTION OF VECTOR CONTROL PROGRAM

The assessment will provide funding for the continuation and enhancement of the projects, services and programs for surveillance, disease prevention, abatement, and control of vectors within the District boundaries. Such mosquito abatement, vector control and disease prevention projects and programs include, but are not limited to, source reduction, biological control, larvicide applications, adulticide applications, disease monitoring, public education, reporting, accountability, research and interagency cooperative activities, as well as capital costs, maintenance, and operation expenses (collectively "Services"). The cost of these Services also includes capital costs comprised of equipment, capital improvements and facilities and other incidental expenses necessary and incidental to the District's mosquito and vector control program.

Following are the Services and resulting level of service for the Assessment Area. As previously noted, the District provides a baseline level of service in the County. These Services are over and above the current baseline level of service. The formula below describes the relationship between the final level of service, the existing baseline level of service, and the enhanced level of service to be funded by the assessment.

\[
\text{Final Level of Service} = \text{Baseline Level of Service} + \text{Enhanced Level of Service}
\]

The Services are further defined as follows:

- Response to mosquito problems as well as other pestiferous or disease-carrying organisms on property in the District.
- Control of mosquito larvae on residential property, agricultural sources, ditches, drain lines, vaults, seasonally flooded ponds, horse troughs, wastewater treatment plants, under buildings, freshwater marshes, creeks, catch basins, and other sources on property in the District.

---

• Survey and data analysis of mosquito larval populations to assess public health risks and allocate control efforts on property in the District.
• Monitoring of mosquito and other hematophagous dipteran populations using carbon dioxide-baited traps, resting boxes, New Jersey light traps, gravid traps, ovitraps, and other surveillance methods on property in the District.
• Monitoring for diseases carried and transmitted by mosquitoes and other arthropods on property in the District, such as Encephalitis, Malaria, Dog Heartworm, and West Nile virus.
• Deployment and testing of sentinel chicken flocks, testing of dead birds, dead squirrels, and mosquitoes for arboviruses and other diseases, and other disease surveillance methods to detect vector-borne diseases on property in the District.
• Testing of new insecticide materials and investigation of their efficacy.
• Cooperation with the local health department, the State Department of Public Health, State Universities, and other agencies to survey and identify arthropod-borne diseases such as Lyme disease, rickettsiosis, and Plague found in parks, on trails and other locations frequented by the public.
• Facilitation of testing and monitoring for diseases carried and transmitted by ticks on property in the District, such as Lyme disease, Rickettsiosis, Ehrlichiosis, Anaplasmosis, Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever, and Babesiosis.
• Monitoring and/or advice for controlling other nuisance and potentially hazardous organisms and vectors such as ticks, mites, and fleas on property in the District.
• Education of residents on property in the District about the risks of diseases carried by mosquitoes, ticks, and other disease vectors, and how to better protect themselves and their pets.
• Assisting State and universities in testing for Hantavirus, Arenavirus, Plague and other diseases carried by small mammal populations.
• Monitoring of new and emerging vectors such as the Asian Tiger Mosquito.
• Testing for and control of new and emerging pathogens such as West Nile virus and Rickettsiosis.
• Education programs on vectors and disease abatement at school, community, and civic group meetings in the District.
• Distribution of printed material and brochures that describe what residents, employees and property owners in the District can do to keep their homes and property free of mosquitoes and other vectors.
Vectors and Vectorborne Diseases in the District

Mosquitoes

Mosquitoes generally occur where there is adequate vegetation for harborage and where water is standing and/or stagnant. Although these mosquitoes have seasonal cycles, they tend to reproduce continuously while conditions are suitable.

**Figure 1 – Currently Important Species in the District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIES</th>
<th>HABITAT</th>
<th>ABUNDANCE</th>
<th>SEASON</th>
<th>DISEASE ASSOCIATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Culex tarsalis</em></td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Great</td>
<td>Spring, Summer, Fall</td>
<td>West Nile virus, St. Louis encephalitis, Western equine encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Culex pipiens</em></td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Great</td>
<td>Spring, Summer, Fall</td>
<td>West Nile virus, St. Louis encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Culiseta incidunt</em></td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Winter, Spring, Fall</td>
<td>None, serious pest in urban/suburban areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Culiseta inornata</em></td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Winter, Spring, Fall</td>
<td>None, serious pest in urban/suburban areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anopheles freeborni</em></td>
<td>Creeks, rice fields, lakes, wetlands</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Summer, Fall</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anopheles punctipennis</em></td>
<td>Creeks, lakes</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Summer, Fall</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aedes sierrensis</em></td>
<td>Oak tree holes, walnut orchards</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Late winter, Spring</td>
<td>Canine heartworm, serious pest in urban/suburban areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aedes melanimon</em></td>
<td>Pastures, wetlands</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Spring, Summer, Fall</td>
<td>Western equine encephalitis, serious pest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aedes nigromaculatus</em></td>
<td>Pastures, irrigated crops</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Spring, Summer, Fall</td>
<td>None, serious pest species in agricultural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aedes washinoi</em></td>
<td>Fresh floodwater sites</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Winter, Spring</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aedes vexans</em></td>
<td>Fresh floodwater sites</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>None, serious pest in recreational areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Culex erythrothorax**

The Culex erythrothorax has become an important mosquito in the District. It is associated with large emergent vegetation in fresh water (e.g., tules), but is abundant in only limited areas of San Joaquin County. It is a strong vector of West Nile virus and an avid human biter.

Mosquitoes that lay their eggs in damp soil that might be flooded up to two years later occupy floodwater habitats. Once the area floods, most of the eggs hatch, producing a large number of mosquitoes for a short period of time. San Joaquin County has two floodwater species of concern. Floodwater mosquitoes prefer to bite in the evening, but they also bite during the day. One species, Aedes walti, has only one generation annually, spending most of the year as eggs. Aedes vexans has multiple generations, but its numbers are restricted by the lack of rainfall during the warm part of the season when it occurs.

Aedes are major pests in the Central Valley of California and can potentially take advantage of changing conditions in San Joaquin County. Aedes nigromaculis is abundant in parts of the District, associated with irrigated pastures. It can have many generations per year, can travel long distances, and is an aggressive hard-biting pest species.

Outdoor containers that hold standing water are another common mosquito habitat in San Joaquin County. Containers can range from naturally occurring holes in trees, to discarded tires, swimming pools, ornamental ponds, bird baths, discarded cans, crumpled plastic and plugged rain gutters. Both Culex pipiens and Culiseta incidunt commonly occur in containers other than tree holes. The tree hole-breeder are characterized by day-biting activity, bright markings, and deposition of eggs above the water line in the container. The District's only native tree-hole mosquito, Aedes sierrensis, normally hatches only one generation per year. It can reach great abundance locally but it does not fly far. Aedes sierrensis is commonly considered the District's most important vector of dog heartworm. Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti are two potentially important container breeders that could get introduced into San Joaquin County. Historically these types of mosquitoes have been introduced to many other areas of the U.S. through transportation associated with international commerce. Aedes albopictus was detected in San Joaquin County in a shipment of ornamental plants exported from a Pacific-rim country, but was eliminated prior to distribution of the plants. Aedes albopictus is an important species because it reaches great abundance, bites during the day, and reproduces continuously in containers often associated with human habitations. Aedes aegypti has similar habits, but has the additional drawback of being a powerful virus vector, specifically, dengue and yellow fever.

Mosquito-transmitted diseases in the District's area are caused by either viruses or the protozoan parasite of malaria (Plasmodium falciapor or Plasmodium vivax). The District has historically had sporadic detections of common California viruses like Western equine encephalitis and St. Louis encephalitis, but West Nile virus was abundant in wild birds, sentinel chicken flocks, mosquito pools, horses, and humans during the period 2004 through 2006. Malaria does not circulate in California at this time, but it used to be a major health problem. Trappers, miners and other immigrants introduced malaria into California in the
1800s from areas where malaria was common. Effective mosquito control and drugs to
cure malaria in humans led to the eradication of malaria in California in the 1950s.
Consistent reintroduction in humans from overseas creates a constant threat from malaria.
In addition, some strains of malaria found in the world today are resistant to drugs that helped
to eradicate the disease in the 1950s. The mosquitoes that can spread malaria are still
abundant in the District and are capable of redistributing this serious health threat if it should
somehow be reintroduced to San Joaquin County.

The diseases of most concern are: western equine encephalitis (WEE), St. Louis
encephalitis (SLE), West Nile virus (WNV), and malaria, which are all transmitted by
mosquitoes and the tick-borne diseases of Lyme disease and tularemia. Among the
principal threats to which the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District
responds are:

- Human and animal diseases associated with mosquitoes
- Annoyance and economic disruption caused by mosquitoes
- Injury to humans from venomous arthropods
- Human diseases associated with wildlife

ECTOPARASITES

San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District has the full range of ectoparasites
that commonly affect humans. The most common are ticks, fleas, bed bugs, lice, scabies
mites, and rat mites. Control of ectoparasites other than mosquitoes by the District is limited
to surveillance and testing of ticks, and the distribution of public information on their
prevention and control. Dermacentor occidentalis and Ixodes pacificus are the two most
abundant species of ticks in San Joaquin County. Dermacentor adults are reported a little
later in the year, but both are most commonly found in human-use areas in the cooler part
of the year. The Lyme disease bacteria (Borrelia burgdorferi, associated with Ixodes
pacificus) is the most important tick-transmitted pathogen, though there are very few cases
of Lyme disease documented in the County each year. Potentially, Ixodes pacificus could
transmit one of the ehrlichial pathogens (causing human granulocytic ehrlichiosis or human
monocytic ehrlichiosis) and Dermacentor occidentalis could transmit the agent causing
Rocky Mountain spotted fever (Rickettsia rickettsii).

VENOMOUS ARTHROPODS

The Africanized honeybee (AHB) is an important stinging insect that is currently increasing
its range within California. However, the arrival of AHB in California has led to serious health
and safety problems for the public and raised the level of concern about all honeybees.
Since there is a significant apiary industry in and near the District, this pest is of serious
concern to the District and its residents.
As noted, the District’s services address several types of vectors and share general principles and policies. These include the identification of vector problems; responsive actions to control existing populations of vectors, prevention of new sources of vectors from developing, and the management of habitat in order to minimize vector production; education of land-owners and others on measures to minimize vector production or interaction with vectors; and provision and administration of funding and institutional support necessary to accomplish these goals.

In order to accomplish effective and environmentally sound vector management, the manipulation and control of vectors must be based on careful surveillance of their abundance, habitat (potential abundance), pathogen load, and/or potential contact with people; the establishment of treatment criteria (thresholds); and appropriate selection from a wide range of control methods. This dynamic combination of surveillance, treatment criteria, and use of multiple control activities in a coordinated program is generally known as Integrated Pest Management (IPM) (Glass 1975, Davis et al 1979, Borror et al 1981, Durso 1996, Robinson 1996).

San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District’s Vector Management Program, like any other IPM program, by definition involves procedures for minimizing potential environmental impacts. The District employs IPM principles by first determining the species and abundance of vectors through evaluation of public service requests and field surveys and trapping of immature and adult pest populations; and then, if the populations exceed predetermined criteria, using the most efficient, effective, and environmentally sensitive means of control. For all vector species, public education is an important control strategy, and for some vectors (venomous insects, and non-mosquito arthropod ectoparasites) it is the District’s primary control method. In appropriate situations, water management or other physical control activities (historically known as "source reduction" or "physical control") can be instituted to reduce vector-breeding sites. The District also uses biological control such as the planting of mosquito-fish (in ornamental ponds, unused swimming pools and other standing water bodies). When these approaches are not effective or are otherwise inappropriate, natural materials that have been found to be environmentally safe are used to treat specific pest-producing or pest-harboring areas.

The District is organized into three principle sections to accomplish IPM. First, the headquarters element provides leadership, expertise, public relations/education, and interface with other governmental authorities.

Second, the operational section includes technicians who perform IPM in the field. Each technician is assigned an area of operation, with the technician responsible for control activities in his or her area. The technician has considerable autonomy in performing duties and therefore benefits from information on risk assessment through continuing education and direct communication from the District’s professional staff. Technicians also perform
surveillance functions by responding to complaints from individual residents and by extensive examination of aquatic sites for mosquito larvae. Technicians also monitor their areas to be sure that their control efforts have been successful.

Finally, a surveillance and science section provides authoritative risk assessment; supplements surveillance performed by technicians, interacts with local government agencies for long-term reduction of vector sources, and performs operational research in support of IPM.

The District maintains the capability of applying aerosolized insecticide for area treatment of adult mosquitoes. This method is used to abate severe pest problems caused by active adult mosquitoes within the District, to quickly reduce significant populations of adult mosquitoes and to prevent or to reduce the spread of mosquito-borne disease in the environment. The District uses only products that have been deemed safe, approved and labeled by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for this purpose. Applications are made by personnel licensed by the California Department of Health Services and trained in the proper use of the products and specialized equipment used for this type of public health pest control; additionally, the District uses private contractors licensed by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation to perform aerial application of mosquito control materials. The following is a summary of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District's efforts to apply IPM to the vectors and issues outlined above.

**MOSQUITOES**

**PERMANENT WATER MOSQUITOES.**

Risk assessment: Historically, Culex tarsalis and Culex pipiens have been very abundant in San Joaquin County. The great disease transmission potential of these species documented in this and other parts of the state suggests that they are the principal vector mosquito species within the District. Anopheles spp. mosquitoes have persisted as a problem in standing water isolations in rice fields, wetlands, along the banks and on islands of rivers, in seepage areas and algae mats along canals, and along a large number of major drainages that provide persistent areas of standing water within the District. The threat of Anopheles as vectors is reduced by the absence of resident malaria pathogens in the area, but they remain an important pest species in this area. Culiseta, particularly Culiseta incisens and Culiseta inornata, are very widespread in the District, occurring in many kinds of habitats during most of the year. However, tests of their ability to transmit viral pathogens show them to be of little significance as vectors.

Surveillance: Surveillance of these mosquitoes is accomplished by a combination of methods. First, technicians and surveillance staff actively examine potential sites by sampling water, collecting larvae, and identifying the larvae to species. Second, various traps (light traps, carbon dioxide baited traps, foul water traps to attract ovipositing females) are used to collect adult mosquitoes or the eggs they deposit. The adults are collected daily and are subsequently classified and identified to species. Finally, individual residents and property owners call the District with complaints about mosquito bites or to report standing water and potential larval sites.
During the warm months, additional temporary staff may be hired to help assist with surveillance and control projects, such as adult mosquito collections, sentinel chicken testing, mosquito fish rearing and dissemination, and public education/outreach. The District's 19 full-time mosquito control technicians routinely inspect and treat thousands of identified and mapped residential, agricultural, industrial and natural standing water sources known to produce mosquitoes within the District. These sources need to be monitored every week to ten days for the presence of standing water and mosquito larvae. One type of standing water of particular concern to the District is runoff held in catch basins throughout the District, particularly in the urbanized areas. Catch basins can produce Culex pipiens in great numbers at locations close to residences and businesses. In rural areas of the District, standing water in rice fields, wetlands, and other man-made sources produce Culex tarsalis in great numbers. This species is capable of flying long distances and is considered the primary vector of West Nile virus in San Joaquin County and the Central Valley.

Viruses transmitted by permanent water mosquitoes are surveyed by testing the mosquito vectors, the avian reservoirs, horses and humans. West Nile virus can be detected in the District's laboratory by using a commercial strip immunoassay and rapid assay instrument. San Joaquin County Public Health Services, the California Department of Public Health, the California Department of Food and Agriculture, and the University of California perform other viral tests of mosquitoes, birds, or mammals. The District has participated in the statewide dead bird surveillance program for West Nile virus, responding to reports of dead birds from the public. These results are mapped using a Geographic Information System. Various county, State and private laboratories throughout California and elsewhere test humans and horses for WNV. The California Department of Health Services tries to obtain and compile human and horse test results from all testing facilities and report them to the appropriate local mosquito control agencies.

Cases of imported malaria are reported by San Joaquin County Public Health Services directly to the District. District laboratory staff and mosquito control technicians go to the address of the patients and check for Anopheles sources within a one-mile radius.

Control: The District currently uses several techniques to control permanent-water mosquito larvae, including biological, chemical, and physical control. Chemical control agents include the toxin of the natural bacteria Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (Bti), which can be applied as either a liquid or a granule. This toxin must be eaten by larvae, restricting its use to the first through third instar stages of development. Bti has the tremendous advantage of specificity, only affecting mosquitoes and related groups of flies. The spores of Bacillus sphaericus (Bs) are also available for liquid spray or granular application. This product has the advantage over Bti of sometimes reproducing in the water, extending the life of its effectiveness. Bs is only effective against Culex and works well in highly polluted water. Methoprene is an analogue of a natural insect hormone that prevents successful development of larvae. It is available as a short-lived liquid and longer-acting granules and briquets. Finally, the District uses a short life-cycle oil combined with surfactants (Golden Bear) in situations where the materials above will not work. Golden Bear is the only material
available that is effective against pupae. Additional chemical control materials include dimilin and temephos.

The District uses the mosquito fish, Gambusia affinis, for biological control. These mosquito-eating fish work particularly well during warm months in decorative ponds, unused swimming pools, animal watering troughs, and a variety of other permanent, natural or artificial sources of standing water (e.g. rice fields, wetlands). The District maintains a 13-acre comprehensive aquaculture facility at the City of Lodi White Slough Water Treatment Facility. This facility and other mosquitofish culture sites annually produce over 3,000 pounds of mosquito fish. (When needed, mosquito fish can be used in combination with Bti, Bs, and methoprene in most larval sources.)

The District uses physical control as required; its application can temporarily or permanently alter habitats so that they do not produce mosquitoes. Examples of physical control include clearing vegetation around pond or stream banks, improving drainage, and providing access for other types of control work. Other efforts to design civil infrastructure in ways to exclude mosquitoes include interactions with local area developers and the planning departments of individual cities, and the San Joaquin County Planning Department.

Monitoring: For the most part, monitoring is the continuation of surveillance activities. Mosquito control technicians and laboratory staffs specifically check treatment sites to be sure that applications were successful. The surveillance section operates carbon dioxide baited traps, light traps and traps baited with foul-water to evaluate the success of the program.

FLOODWATER MOSQUITOES

Freshwater floodwater species are an intermittent major pest and potential disease vector problem in San Joaquin County when faulty irrigation practices or wetland flood-up cause sudden increases in the numbers of Aedes nigromaculis and Aedes melaninom. These species as well as Aedes vexans mosquitoes will frequently create pest and potential disease vector problems when their populations rise due to intermittently flooded areas. These releases are manipulated by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation for flood control, water quality control, and distribution of water for residential, industrial and agricultural purposes within the Central Valley Project (federal water management program for California). The vector potential of all of these species is low in San Joaquin County, though the isolation of West Nile virus from a mosquito identified as Aedes squamipalpus in San Luis Obispo raises some concern about the potential for spread of this disease by floodwater mosquito species not normally thought of as vectors.

Monitoring: Aedes melaninom, Aedes nigromaculis, and Aedes vexans are aggressive day- and night-time biters. As a result, public complaints are helpful in pinpointing intermittently flooded areas where these mosquitoes breed. Calls from the public are also used to help the District to help assess success or failure of treatments. However, daily monitoring of water releases from local reservoirs and inspections in the field of intermittently flooded areas known to create mosquito habitat are the primary means used by the District to
determine the need for treatment and to assess the effectiveness of treatments performed by District personnel to control floodwater mosquito species. Carbon dioxide baited traps are also an effective means of monitoring the adults of these species.

**CONTAINER-BREEDING MOSQUITOES**

Risk assessment: The tree-hole breeding mosquito, *Aedes sierrensis*, can be a significant nuisance. Although most emerge in the late winter and spring, many adults survive into early summer. This species generally only travels short distances, with the advantage that neighbors are unlikely to be affected but with the disadvantage that residents with larval sites are likely to have an intense problem. The species is an important vector of dog heartworm, of which numerous cases were reported by local veterinarians in 2008. *Aedes albopictus* has been found in San Joaquin County and was eradicated, but continues to be to be found in other areas of California. This Asian species could be a significant problem in San Joaquin County because it would probably spread to many neighborhoods, reproduce throughout the warm season, and create a nuisance day-biting problem that currently does not exist. Although unlikely, *Aedes albopictus* could also support transmission of dengue virus, were that pathogen introduced.

Surveillance: The District monitors larval activity in tree holes to determine the stage of development and percentage of *Aedes sierrensis* emergence throughout the District. Complaints from residents in the early spring are another important means of determining areas with a high level of *Aedes sierrensis* activity. The District pays special attention to likely sources of *Aedes albopictus* importation (e.g., importers of lucky bamboo plants). *Aedes albopictus* is not highly attracted to carbon dioxide baited traps. The best ways to monitor are the use of black cups attractive to ovipositing females (eggs are counted on strips of paper in the cups), landing collections on humans, and inspection of container larval sites. Informing the public to look for day-biting, black and white mosquitoes is also effective.

Control: Due to the enormous number of tree holes in the District, larval control of the tree hole-breeding mosquito, *Aedes sierrensis*, by District personnel is only feasible in certain accessible areas, including public parks, landscaped street margins, and other public areas. Through its public education efforts the District encourages residents to eliminate breeding sites by filling tree holes with materials to displace the water in which these mosquitoes breed. When larval surveillance of tree holes indicates that a majority of the *Aedes sierrensis* mosquitoes have hatched out, adult mosquito control products are applied to areas of known *Aedes sierrensis* activity to quickly drop the populations of these mosquitoes back below significant pest thresholds. The combination of denying oviposition sites to females, larviciding certain tree hole areas, and reduction of the adult mosquito population by adulticiding is helpful in reducing levels of local infestation.

Monitoring: Surveillance for *Aedes sierrensis* continues on an annual basis.

The District has found mosquito and other potential vector sources scattered throughout the District. All properties within the District are within mosquito-flying range of one or more mosquito sources, and/or the normal travel range of one or more other vectors. Furthermore,
the District area has long suffered from mosquitoes and other vectors and includes a large number of sources.

Surveillance is conducted in a manner based upon an equal spread of resources throughout the District boundaries, focusing on areas of likely sources. Treatment strategies are based upon the results of the surveillance program, and are specifically designed for individual area.

ECTOPARASITES
Threat assessment: The complex of ectoparasites creates an important segment of requests for prevention and control information from the public. Associations between non-mosquito ectoparasites and human disease in or near San Joaquin County are quite rare. Head lice, fleas associated with native rodents and domestic animals, and bedbugs are common in the District, but infestations are usually handled with limited advice from the District. Ticks are most noticeable in the fall, winter, and spring and create a low risk of Lyme disease and Rocky Mountain spotted fever. Residents usually encounter ticks when hiking in county or state parks, though some residences in the District have tick infestations in their yards.

Surveillance: The District does not currently perform any routine surveillance for any invertebrate ectoparasites, other than mosquitoes and ticks. Ectoparasite specimens brought to the District by the public are identified and tested for vectorborne diseases by the District’s laboratory staff, or referred to other specialists.

Control: The District does not perform any control activities for ectoparasites except advice, surveillance, disease testing and education at this time.

FILTH FLIES
Threat assessment: Associations between filth flies and human disease in or near the District are quite rare. Significant infestations of filth flies are invariably the result of agricultural, commercial, and residential activity in San Joaquin County. As a result, the source of flies can be very persistent. Flies transmit a number of pathogens by simply carrying them from place to place. The damage to human health is often in the form of increased rates of diarrhea where flies are abundant. Since diarrhea rates are not reported, complaints about flies are more commonly based on aesthetics.

Surveillance: The District does not actively survey areas for flies, but assists San Joaquin County Environmental Health Department with surveillance and control at site-specific locations; it is assumed the District will continue in this capacity in the future.

Control: The District does not perform routine control activities. Advice is given on sanitation, exclusion, and insecticidal treatment in coordination with that provided by San Joaquin County Environmental Health Department.
VENOMOUS ARTHROPODS

Threat assessment: The Africanized honeybee (AHB) will probably cause significant stinging incidents if it arrives in the District. The actual threat from other venomous arthropods in the District is limited.

Surveillance: District personnel evaluate specific bee problems occurring on public lands. Honeybees are collected for morphometric evaluation of whether or not they are AHB.

Control: The District provides information to residents on the control of yellow jackets, AHB, and other stinging pests.

RODENTS

Threat assessment: The temperate climate in San Joaquin County creates ideal conditions for commensal rodents. However, associations between rodents and human disease in or near San Joaquin County are quite rare. Roof rats are especially abundant, sometimes adopting a lifestyle similar to tree squirrels. Native rodents are commonly peridomestic or, in the case of wood rats, domestic. Rodents cause extensive damage to structures and harbor important human pathogens.

Surveillance: The District does not currently perform routine surveillance for rodents. The District does receive complaints regarding rodents and forwards the complaint to San Joaquin County Environmental Health Department.

Control: The District does not routinely apply rodenticides. Private pest control companies within and near the District provide rodent control services; therefore the District does not provide such services. The District provides written and verbal information on the control of rodents that may affect the health of local residents. The strategy proposed by the District is exclusion of rodents through rodent proofing the house, sanitation, elimination of outdoor sources of rodents (e.g., ivy), and declination of the rodents through trapping.

The District's objective is to provide the properties a District-wide level of consistent mosquito and vector control such that all properties would benefit from equivalent reduced levels of mosquitoes and other vectors. Surveillance and monitoring are provided on a District-wide basis. The District, though, cannot predict where control measures will be applied because the type and location of control depends on the surveillance and monitoring results. However, the control thresholds and objectives are comparable throughout the District.

The District responds to service requests within its boundaries. Any property owner, business or resident in the District may contact the District to request vector control related service or inspection and a District field technician will respond promptly to evaluate the property and situation and to perform appropriate surveillance and control services. The District responds to all service requests in a timely manner, regardless of location, within its boundaries.
PUBLIC RELATIONS, OUTREACH, AND EDUCATION

District staff conducts annual public relations, outreach, and education campaigns. This includes making press releases, publishing brochures, responding to requests for interviews from all media, informing other government agencies, and giving presentations. The District has an elementary school program whereby the District visits classrooms to present information about mosquito and vector biology and control issues, as well as personal protection, and techniques used by the District to control pests of public health importance. The recent emergence of West Nile Virus created a need for regular and fairly extensive media contacts, outreach and education.

San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District also interacts professionally at many levels. Personnel and the Board of Trustees attend meetings of the Mosquito and Vector Control Association of California and the American Mosquito Control Association.
INTERAGENCY PROGRAMS

San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District actively seeks cooperative exchanges with a wide range of other government agencies at county, state, and national levels. Among the relationships are:

a. San Joaquin County Public Health Services — Data sharing for threat assessment, testing of dead bird and mosquito pool samples, and public health education and outreach

b. San Joaquin County Environmental Health Department — Waste tire disposal (a significant mosquito breeding source), dairy and poultry vector control, WNV task force participation

c. San Joaquin County Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures — Pesticide use monitoring and law enforcement, equine WNV education and outreach

d. Code Enforcement of individual cities — Enforcement of sanitation and structural changes

e. City/County/State Public Works Department — Storm drain treatment for the cities of Escalon, Lathrop, Lodi, Manteca, Ripon, Stockton, and Tracy, San Joaquin County, and State of California

f. California Department of Public Health — Laboratory support, interaction with other districts, collation of data, licensing technicians

g. US Fish and Wildlife Service — Wetland treatment

h. US Army Corps of Engineers — Project development

i. California Department of Fish and Game — Wetland development
The District cooperates with University of California researchers to perform special research projects as opportunity allows and need dictates. These projects relate directly to operational problems so that the results enhance protection of health and property. Examples include collection of Culex pipiens mosquito larvae for laboratory study, exploration of mosquito immunity to various treatment products, and measurement of the flight range of Culex tarsalis.
# Estimate of Cost

## Figure 2 – Cost Estimate for Fiscal Year 2019-20

San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District
Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment
Estimate of Cost
Fiscal Year 2019-20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Vector Control Services and Related Expenditures

**Vector Control and Disease Prevention Operations**

- Salaries and wages: $2,837,515.00
- Employment benefits: $2,688,080.00

**Materials, Utilities and Supplies**

- Materials: $1,526,000.00
- General utilities and supplies: $1,544,183.00

**Capital Equipment and Fixed Assets**

- Capital outlays: $900,000.00
- Other expenditures: $21,050.00

**Subtotal Vector Control Services and Related Expenditures**

$9,516,828

### Additional Expenditures

- Allowance for Uncollectable Assessments: $4,754
- County Collection, Levy Administration, and Other Incidental: $45,428

**Subtotal Additional Expenditures**

$50,182

**Total Benefit of Services and Related Expenses**

$9,567,010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFE Units</th>
<th>2,323,388.89</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefit received per Single Family Equivalent Unit</td>
<td>$41.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Loss:

- District Contribution for General Benefits: ($956,701)
- District Contribution toward Special Benefits: ($6,199,533)
- Transfers to (from) reserves: ($335,613)
- Revenue from Other Sources: ($7,492,847)

**Net Cost of Vector Control, Fixed Asset Equipment, Operation**

$2,074,163

**Total Mosquito, Vector & Disease Control Services and Incidents**

$2,074,163

(Net Amount to be Assessed)

### Budget Allocation to Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Total SFE Units</th>
<th>Assessment per SFE</th>
<th>Total Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>186,639.15</td>
<td>$8.84</td>
<td>$1,649,890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>312.53</td>
<td>$8.40</td>
<td>$2,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>45,387.21</td>
<td>$9.29</td>
<td>$421,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>232,338.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,074,163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes:

As determined in the following section, at least 10% of the cost of the Services must be funded from sources other than the assessments to cover any general benefits from the Services. Therefore, out of the total cost of Services of $9,567,910 the District must contribute at least $956,791 from sources other than the assessments. The District will contribute over $7,155,219, which is well over the estimated general benefits.

Includes allowance for uncollectable assessments from assessments on public agency parcels, county collection charges and assessment administration costs.

SFE Units means Single Family Equivalent Benefit Units. See method of assessment in the following Section for further definition.

The assessment rate per SFE is the total amount to assessment per single family equivalent benefit unit.

The proceeds from the assessments will be deposited into a special fund for the Assessment. Funds raised by the assessment shall be used only for the purposes stated within this Report. Any balance remaining at the end of the fiscal year, June 30, must be carried over to the next fiscal year.
This section of the Report explains the benefits to be derived from the Services provided for property in the District, and the methodology used to apportion the total assessment to properties within the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment area.

The Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment area consists of all Assessor Parcels in San Joaquin County as defined by the State Board of Equalization tax rate areas and the San Joaquin County Assessor.

The method used for apportioning the assessment is based upon the proportional special benefits to be derived by the properties in the District over and above general benefits conferred on real property in the Assessment Area. Special benefit is calculated for each parcel in the Assessment Area using the following process:

1. Identification of total benefit to the properties derived from the Services
2. Calculation of the proportion of these benefits that are special vs. general
3. Determination of the relative special benefit within different areas within the Assessment Area
4. Determination of the relative special benefit per property type and property characteristic
5. Calculation of the specific assessment for each individual parcel based upon special vs. general benefit, location, property type and property characteristics

DISCUSSION OF BENEFIT

In summary, the assessments can only be levied based on the special benefit to property. This special benefit is received by property over and above any general benefits. This special benefit is received by property over and above any general benefits from the additional Services. With reference to the engineering requirements for property related assessments, under Proposition 218, an engineer must determine and prepare a report evaluating the amount of special and general benefit received by property within the Assessment Area as a result of the improvements or services provided by a local agency. That special benefit is to be determined in relation to the total cost to that local entity of providing the service and/or improvements.

Proposition 218 as described in Article XIIID of the California Constitution has confirmed that assessments must be based on the special benefit to property:

"No assessment shall be imposed on any parcel which exceeds the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred on that parcel."

The below benefit factors, when applied to property in the Assessment Area, confer special benefits to property and ultimately improve the safety, utility, functionality and usability of property in the Assessment Area. These are special benefits to property in the Assessment.
Area in much the same way that storm drainage, sewer service, water service, lighting, sidewalks and paved streets enhance the safety, utility and functionality of each parcel of property served by these improvements, providing them with more utility of use and making them safer and more usable for occupants.

It should also be noted that Proposition 218 included a requirement that existing assessments in effect upon its effective date were required to be confirmed by either a majority vote of registered voters in the Assessment Area, or by weighted majority property owner approval using the new ballot proceeding requirements. However, certain assessments were excluded from these voter approval requirements. Of note is that in California Constitution Article XIIID Section 5(a) this special exemption was granted to assessments for sidewalks, streets, sewers, water, flood control, drainage systems and vector control. The Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association explained this exemption in their Statement of Drafters Intent:

“This is the "traditional purposes" exception. These existing assessments do not need property owner approval to continue. However, future assessments for these traditional purposes are covered.”

Therefore, the drafters of Proposition 218 acknowledged that vector control assessments were a “traditional” and therefore acknowledged and accepted use.

Since all assessments, existing before or after Proposition 218 must be based on special benefit to property, the drafters of Proposition 218 inherently found that vector control services confer special benefit on property. Moreover, the statement of drafter’s intent also acknowledges that any new or increased vector control assessments after the effective date of Proposition 218 would need to comply with the voter approval requirements it established. This is as an acknowledgement that additional assessments for such “traditional” purposes would be established after Proposition 218 was in effect. Therefore, the drafters of Proposition 218 clearly recognized vector assessments as a “traditional” use of assessments, acknowledged that new vector assessments may be formed after Proposition 218 and inherently were satisfied that vector control services confer special benefit to properties.

The Legislature made a specific determination after Proposition 218 was enacted that vector control services constitute a proper subject for special assessment. Health and Safety Code section 2082 provides that a district may levy special assessments consistent with the requirements of Article XIIID of the California Constitution to finance vector control projects and programs. The intent of the Legislature to allow and authorize benefit assessments for vector control services after Proposition 218 is shown in the Assembly and Senate analysis the Mosquito Abatement and Vector Control District Law where it states that the law:

---

Allows special benefit assessments to finance vector control projects and programs, consistent with Proposition 218. 4.

Therefore the State Legislature unanimously found that vector control services are a valuable and important public service - that can be funded by benefit assessments. To be funded by assessments, vector control services must confer special benefit to property.

**Mosquito and Vector Control Is a Special Benefit to Properties**

As described below, this Engineer's Report concludes that mosquito and vector control is a special benefit that provides direct advantages to property in the Assessment Area. For example, if approved, the assessment would provide reduced levels of mosquitoes and other vectors on property throughout the Assessment Area. Moreover, the assessment will reduce the risk of the presence of diseases on property throughout the Assessment Area, which is another direct advantage received by property in the Assessment Area. Moreover, the assessment will fund Services that improve the use of property and reduce the nuisance and harm created by vectors on property throughout the Assessment Area. These are tangible and direct special benefits that will be received by property throughout the specific area covered by the Assessment.

The following section, Benefit Factors, describes how and why vector control services specially benefit properties in the Assessment Area. These benefits are particular and distinct from its effect on property in general or the public at large.

**Benefit Factors**

In order to allocate the assessments, the Engineer begins by identifying the types of special benefit arising from the aforementioned Services and that would be provided to property within the Assessment District. The following benefit factors have been established that represent the types of special benefit to parcels resulting from the Services to be financed with the assessment proceeds. These types of special benefit are as follows:

**Reduced Mosquito and Vector Populations on Property and as a Result, Enhanced Desirability, Utility, Usability and Functionality of Property in the Assessment Area.**

The assessments will provide enhanced services for the control and abatement of nuisance and disease-carrying mosquitoes. These Services will materially reduce the number of vectors on properties throughout the Assessment Area. The lower mosquito and vector populations on property in the Assessment Area is a direct advantage to property that will serve to increase the desirability and "usability" of property. Clearly, properties are more desirable and usable in areas with lower mosquito populations and with a reduced risk of vector-borne disease. This is a special benefit to residential, commercial, agricultural, industrial and other types of properties because all such properties will directly benefit from

---

4 Senate Bill 1588, Mosquito Abatement and Vector Control District Law, Legislative bill analysis
reduced mosquito and vector populations and properties with lower vector populations are more usable, functional and desirable.

Excessive mosquitoes and other vectors in the area can materially diminish the utility and usability of property. For example, prior to the commencement of mosquito control and abatement services, properties in many areas in the State were considered to be nearly uninhabitable during the times of year when the mosquito populations were high. The prevention or reduction of such diminished utility and usability of property caused by mosquitoes is a clear and direct advantage and special benefit to property in the Assessment Area.

The State Legislature made the following finding on this issue:

"Excess numbers of mosquitoes and other vectors spread diseases of humans, livestock, and wildlife, reduce enjoyment of outdoor living spaces, both public and private, reduce property values, hinder outdoor work, reduce livestock productivity, and mosquitoes and other vectors can disperse or be transported long distances from their sources and are, therefore, a health risk and a public nuisance; and professional mosquito and vector control based on scientific research has made great advances in reducing mosquito and vector populations and the diseases they transmit."  

Mosquitoes and other vectors emerge from sources throughout the Assessment Area, and with an average flight range of two miles, mosquitoes from known sources can reach all properties in the Assessment Area. These sources include standing water in rural areas, such as marshes, pools, wetlands, ponds, drainage ditches, drainage systems, tree holes and other removable sources such as old tires and containers. The sources of mosquitoes also include numerous locations throughout the urban areas in the Assessment Area. These sources include underground drainage systems, containers, unattended swimming pools, leaks in water pipes, tree holes, flower cups in cemeteries, over-watered landscaping and lawns and many other sources. By controlling mosquitoes at known and new sources, the Services will materially reduce mosquito populations on property throughout the Assessment Area.

A recently increasing source of mosquitoes is unattended swimming pools:

---

5 Prior to the commencement of modern mosquito control services, areas in the State of California such as the San Mateo Peninsula, Napa County, Lake County and areas in Marin and Sonoma Counties had such high mosquito populations or other vector populations that they were considered to be nearly unbearable during certain times of the year and were largely used for part-time vacation cottages that were occupied primarily during the months when the natural vector populations were lower.

6 Assembly Concurrent Resolution 52, chaptered April 1, 2003
"Anthropogenic landscape change historically has facilitated outbreaks of pathogens amplified by peridomestic vectors such as Cx. pipiens complex mosquitoes and associated commensals such as house sparrows. The recent widespread downturn in the housing market and increase in adjustable rate mortgages have combined to force a dramatic increase in home foreclosures and abandoned homes and produced urban landscapes dotted with an expanded number of new mosquito habitats. These new larval habitats may have contributed to the unexpected early season increase in WNV cases in Bakersfield during 2007 and subsequently have enabled invasion of urban areas by the highly competent rural vector Cx. tarsalis. These factors can increase the spectrum of competent avian hosts, the efficiency of enzootic amplification, and the risk for urban epidemics." \(^7\)

**INCREASED SAFETY OF PROPERTY IN THE ASSESSMENT AREA.**

The Assessments will result in improved year-round proactive Services to control and abate mosquitoes and other vectors that otherwise would occupy properties throughout the Assessment Area. Mosquitoes and other vectors are transmitters of diseases, so the reduction of mosquito and vector populations makes property safer for use and enjoyment. In absence of the assessments, these Services would not be provided, so the Services funded by the assessments make properties in the Assessment Area safer, which is a distinct special benefit to property in the Assessment Area.\(^8\) This is not a general benefit to property in the Assessment Area or the public at large because the Services are tangible mosquito, vector and disease control services that will be provided directly to the properties in the Assessment Area and the Services are over and above what otherwise would be provided by the District or any other agency.

This finding was confirmed in 2003 by the State Legislature:

"Mosquitoes and other vectors, including but not limited to, ticks, Africanized honey bees, rats, fleas, and flies, continue to be a source of human suffering, illness, death, and a public nuisance in California and around the world. Adequately funded mosquito and vector control, monitoring and public awareness programs are the best way to prevent outbreaks of West Nile Virus and other diseases borne by mosquitoes and other vectors." \(^9\)

Also, the Legislature, in Health and Safety Code Section 2001, finds that:

---


\(^8\) By reducing the risk of disease and increasing the safety of property, the proposed Services will materially increase the usefulness and desirability of certain properties in the Assessment Area.

\(^9\) Assembly Concurrent Resolution 52, chambered April 1, 2003
“The protection of Californians and their communities against the discomforts and economic effects of vectorborne diseases is an essential public service that is vital to public health, safety, and welfare.”

REDUCTIONS IN THE RISK OF NEW DISEASES AND INFECTIONS ON PROPERTY IN THE ASSESSMENT AREA.

Mosquitoes have proven to be a major contributor to the spread of new diseases such as West Nile Virus, among others. A highly mobile population combined with migratory bird patterns can introduce new mosquito-borne diseases into previously unexposed areas.

“Vector-borne diseases (including a number that are mosquito-borne) are a major public health problem internationally. In the United States, dengue and malaria are frequently brought back from tropical and subtropical countries by travelers or migrant laborers, and autochthonous transmission of malaria and dengue occasionally occurs. In 1998, 90 confirmed cases of dengue and 1,611 cases of malaria were reported in the USA and dengue transmission has occurred in Texas.”

“During 2004, 40 states and the District of Columbia (DC) have reported 2,313 cases of human WNV illness to CDC through ArboNET. Of these, 737 (32%) cases were reported in California, 390 (17%) in Arizona, and 276 (12%) in Colorado. A total of 1,339 (59%) of the 2,282 cases for which such data were available occurred in males; the median age of patients was 52 years (range: 1 month–99 years). Date of illness onset ranged from April 23 to November 4; a total of 79 cases were fatal.” 11 (According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention on January 19, 2004, a total of 2,470 human cases and 88 human fatalities from WNV have been confirmed).

A study of the effect of aerial spraying conducted by the Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District (SYMVCVD) to control a West Nile Virus disease outbreak found that the SYMVCVD’s mosquito control efforts materially decreased the risk of new diseases in the treated areas:


After spraying, infection rates decreased from 8.2 (95% CI 3.1–18.0) to 4.3 (95% CI 0.3–20.3) per 1,000 females in the spray area and increased from 2.0 (95% CI 0.1–9.7) to 8.7 (95% CI 3.3–18.9) per 1,000 females in the untreated area. Furthermore, no additional positive pools were detected in the northern treatment area during the remainder of the year, whereas positive pools were detected in the untreated area until the end of September (D.E.A Elmaiem, unpub. data). These independent lines of evidence corroborate our conclusion that actions taken by SYMVCD were effective in disrupting the WNV transmission cycle and reducing human illness and potential deaths associated with WNV. 12

The Services funded by the assessments will help prevent on a year-round basis the presence of vector-borne diseases on property in the Assessment Area. This is another tangible and direct special benefit to property in the Assessment Area that would not be received in absence of the assessments.

PROTECTION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY ON PROPERTY IN THE ASSESSMENT AREA.

As recently demonstrated by the SARS outbreak in China and outbreaks of Avian Flu, outbreaks of pathogens can materially and negatively impact economic activity in the affected area. Such outbreaks and other public health threats can have a drastic negative effect on tourism, business and residential activities in the affected area. The assessments will help to prevent the likelihood of such outbreaks in the District.

Mosquitoes hinder, annoy and harm residents, guests, visitors, farm workers, and employees. A vector-borne disease outbreak and other related public health threats would have a drastic negative effect on agricultural, business and residential activities in the Assessment Area.

The economic impact of diseases is well documented. According to a study prepared for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, economic losses due to the transmission of West Nile Virus in Louisiana was estimated to cost over $20 million over approximately one year.

The estimated cost of the Louisiana epidemic was $20.1 million from June 2002 to February 2003, including a $10.9 million cost of illness ($4.4 million medical and $6.5 million nonmedical costs) and a $9.2 million cost of public health response. These data indicate a substantial short-term cost of the WNV disease epidemic in Louisiana.  

Moreover, a study conducted in 1996-97 of La Crosse Encephalitis (LACE), a human illness caused by a mosquito-transmitted virus, found a lifetime cost per human case at $48,000 to $3,000,000 and found that the disease significantly impacted lifespans of those who were infected. Following is a quote from the study which references the importance and value of active vector control services of the type that would be funded by the assessments:

The socioeconomic burden resulting from LACE is substantial, which highlights the importance of the illness in western North Carolina, as well as the need for active surveillance, reporting, and prevention programs for the infection.  

The Services to be funded by the assessments will help prevent the likelihood of such outbreaks on property in the Assessment Area and will reduce the harm to economic activity on property caused by existing mosquito populations. This is another direct advantage received by property in the Assessment Area that would not be received in absence of the assessments.

PROTECTION OF ASSESSMENT AREA’S AGRICULTURE, TOURISM, AND BUSINESS INDUSTRIES.
The agriculture, tourism and business industries will benefit from reduced levels of harmful or nuisance mosquitoes and other vectors. Conversely, any outbreaks of emerging vector-borne pathogens such as West Nile Virus could also materially negatively affect these industries. Diseases transmitted by mosquitoes and other vectors can adversely impact business and recreational functions.


A study prepared for the United States Department of Agriculture in 2003 found that over 1,400 horses died from West Nile Virus in Colorado and Nebraska and that these fatal disease cases created over $1.2 million in costs and lost revenues. In addition, horse owners in these two states spent over $2.75 million to vaccinate their horses for this disease. The study states that “Clearly, WNV has had a marked impact on the Colorado and Nebraska equine industry.”

Pesticides for mosquito control impart economic benefits to agriculture in general. Anecdotal reports from farmers and ranchers indicate that cattle, if left unprotected, can be exsanguinated by mosquitoes, especially in Florida and other southeast coastal areas. Dairy cattle produce less milk when bitten frequently by mosquitoes.

The assessments will serve to protect the businesses and industries and the employees and residents that benefit from these businesses and industries. This is a direct advantage and special benefit to property in the Assessment Area.

Reduced Risk of Nuisance and Liability on Property in the Assessment Area

In addition to health-related factors, uncontrolled mosquito and vector populations create a nuisance for the occupants of property in the Assessment Area. Properties in the Assessment Area, therefore, will benefit from the reduced nuisance factor that will be created by the Services. Agricultural and rangeland properties also benefit from the reduced nuisance factor and harm to livestock and employees from lower mosquito and vector populations.

Agricultural, range, golf course, cemetery, open space and other such lands in the Assessment Area contain large areas of mosquito and vector habitat and are therefore a significant source of mosquito and vector populations. In addition, residential and business properties in the Assessment Area can also contain significant sources. It is conceivable that sources of mosquitoes could be held liable for the transmission of diseases or other harm. For example, in August 2004, the City of Los Angeles approved new fines of up to $1,000 per day for property owners who don’t remove standing water sources of mosquitoes on their property.

---


17 Sources of mosquitoes on residential, business, agricultural, range and other types of properties include removable sources such as containers that hold standing water.
The Services will serve to protect the businesses and industries in the Assessment Area. This is a direct advantage and a special benefit to property in the Assessment Area.

**IMPROVED MARKETABILITY OF PROPERTY.**
As described previously, the Services will specially benefit properties in the Assessment Area by making them more useable, livable and functional. The Services also make properties in the Assessment Area more desirable, and more desirable properties also benefit from improved marketability. This is another tangible and direct special benefit to property which will not be enjoyed in absence of the Services. 16

**BENEFIT FINDING**
In summary, the special benefits described in this Report and the expansion of Services in the Assessment Area directly benefit and protect the real properties in the Assessment Area in excess of the assessments for these properties. Therefore, the assessment engineer finds that the cumulative special benefits to property from the Services are reasonably equal to or greater than the annual assessment amount per benefit unit.

**GENERAL VS. SPECIAL BENEFIT**
Article XIIIIC of the California Constitution requires any local agency proposing to increase or impose a benefit assessment to "separate the general benefits from the special benefits conferred on a parcel." The rationale for separating special and general benefits is to ensure that property owners subject to the benefit assessment are not paying for general benefits. The assessment can fund the special benefits to property in the Assessment Area but cannot fund any general benefits. Accordingly, a separate estimate of the special and general benefit is given in this section.

In other words:

\[
\text{Total Benefit} = \text{General Benefit} + \text{Special Benefit}
\]

There is no widely-accepted or statutory formula for general benefit from vector control services. General benefits are benefits from improvements or services that are not special in nature, are not "particular and distinct" and are not "over and above" benefits received by other properties. General benefits are conferred to properties located "in the district,10" but

---

16 If one were to compare two hypothetical properties with similar characteristics, the property with lower mosquito infestation and reduced risk of vector-borne disease will clearly be more desirable, marketable and usable.

10 SVTA vs. SCCOSA explains as follows.
outside the narrowly-drawn Assessment Area and to "the public at large." SVTA vs. SCCOSA provides some clarification by indicating that general benefits provide "an indirect, derivative advantage" and are not necessarily proximate to the improvements and services funded by the assessments.

A formula to estimate the general benefit is listed below:

\[
\text{General Benefit} = \text{Benefit to Real Property Outside the Assessment District} + \text{Benefit to Real Property Inside the Assessment District that is Indirect and Derivative} + \text{Benefit to the Public at Large}
\]

Special benefit, on the other hand, is defined in the state constitution as "a particular and distinct benefit over and above general benefits conferred on real property located in the district or to the public at large." The SVTA v. SCCOSA decision indicates that a special benefit is conferred to a property if it "receives a direct advantage from the improvement (e.g., proximity to a park)." In this assessment, the overwhelming proportion of the benefits conferred to property is special, since the advantages from the mosquito, vector and disease control/mentorship funded by the Assessments are directly received by the properties in the Assessment Area and are only minimally received by property outside the Assessment Area or the public at large.

Proposition 218 twice uses the phrase "over and above" general benefits in describing special benefit. (Art. XIII D., sections 2(l) & 4(f)). There currently are some mosquito and vector related services being provided to the Assessment Area. Consequently, there currently are some mosquito control related benefits being provided to the Assessment Area and any new and extended service provided by the District are over and above this baseline. Arguably, all of the Services to be funded by the assessment therefore there is a special benefit because the additional Services would particularly and distinctly benefit and protect the Assessment Area over and above the previous baseline benefits and service.

OSA observes that Proposition 218's definition of "special benefit" presents a paradox when considered with its definition of "district." Section 2, subdivision (f) defines a "special benefit" as "a particular and distinct benefit over and above general benefits conferred on real property located in the district or to the public at large." (Art. XII D, § 2, subd. (f), italics added.) Section 2, subdivision (d) defines "district" as "an area determined by an agency to contain all parcels which will receive a special benefit from a proposed public improvement or property-related service." (Art. XIII D, § 2, subd. (d), italics added.) In a well-drawn district — limited to only parcels receiving special benefits from the improvement — every parcel within that district receives a shared special benefit. Under section 2, subdivision (f), these benefits can be construed as being general benefits since they are not "particular and distinct" and are not "over and above" the benefits received by other properties "located in the district."

We do not believe that the voters intended to invalidate an assessment districts that is narrowly drawn to include only properties directly benefiting from an improvement. Indeed, the ballot materials reflect otherwise. Thus, if an assessment district is narrowly drawn, the fact that a benefit is conferred throughout the district does not make it general rather than special.
Nevertheless, arguably some of the Services benefit the public at large and properties outside the Assessment Area. In this report, the general benefit is conservatively estimated and described, and then budgeted so that it is funded by sources other than the assessment.

In the 2009 Dahms case, the court upheld an assessment that was 100% special benefit on the rationale that the services funded by the assessments were directly provided to property in the assessment district. Similar to the assessments in Pomona that were validated by Dahms, the Assessments described in this Engineer’s Report fund mosquito, vector and disease control services directly provided to property in the assessment area. Moreover, as noted in this Report, the Services directly reduce mosquito and vector populations on all property in the assessment area. Therefore, Dahms establishes a basis for minimal or zero general benefits from the Assessments. However, in this report, the general benefit is more conservatively estimated and described, and then budgeted so that it is funded by sources other than the assessment.

**CALCULATING GENERAL BENEFIT**

Without this assessment the District would lack the funds to extend the additional Services to the Assessment Area. The only additional service that is being provided is the vector control program assessment-funded Services. Consistent with footnote 8 of SVTA v. SCCOSA, and for the reasons described above, the District has determined that all parcels in the Assessment Area receive a shared direct advantage and special benefit from the Services. The Services directly and particularly serve and benefit each parcel, and are not a mere indirect, derivative advantage. As explained above, Proposition 218 relies on the concept of “over and above” in distinguishing special benefits from general benefits. As applied to an assessment proceeding concurrent with the annexation this concept means that all vector control services, which provide direct advantage to property in the Assessment Area, are over and above the baseline and therefore are special.

Nevertheless, the Services may provide a degree of general benefit, in addition to the predominant special benefit. This section provides a conservative measure of the general benefits from the Assessments.

**BENEFIT TO PROPERTY OUTSIDE THE DISTRICT**

Properties within the Assessment Area receive almost all of the special benefits from the Services because the Services funded by the Assessments will be provided directly to protect property within the Assessment Area from mosquitoes and vector-borne diseases. However, properties adjacent to, but just outside of, the boundaries may receive some benefit from the Services in the form of reduced mosquito populations on property outside the Assessment Area. Since this benefit, is conferred to properties outside the district boundaries, it contributes to the overall general benefit calculation and will not be funded by the assessment.

A measure of this general benefit is the proportion of Services that would affect properties outside of the Assessment Area. Each year, the District will provide some of its Services in
areas near the boundaries of the Assessment Area. By abating mosquito populations near the borders of the Assessment Area, the Services could provide benefits in the form of reduced mosquito populations and reduced risk of disease transmission to properties outside the Assessment Area. If mosquitoes were not controlled inside the Assessment Area, more of them would fly from the Assessment Area. Therefore control of mosquitoes within the Unprotected Areas provides some benefit to properties outside the Assessment Area but within the normal travel range of vectors, in the form of reduced mosquito populations and reduced vector-borne disease transmission. This is a measure of the general benefits to property outside the Assessment Area because this is a benefit from the Services that is not specially conferred upon property in the assessment area.

The mosquito potential outside the Assessment Area is based on studies of mosquito dispersion concentrations. Mosquitoes can travel up to two miles, on average, so this destination range is used. Based on studies of mosquito destinations, relative to parcels in the Assessment Area average concentration of mosquitoes from the Unprotected Areas on properties within two miles of the Assessment Area is calculated to be 6%. This relative vector population reduction factor within the destination range is combined with the number of parcels outside the Assessment Area and within the destination range to measure this general benefit and is calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mosquitoes may fly up to 2 miles from their breeding source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21,157 parcels within 2 miles of, but outside of the District, may receive some mosquito and disease protection benefit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% portion of relative benefit that is received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217,290 Parcels in the District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Benefit = 21,157 parcels * 6% = 1,269 parcels equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of overall parcel equivalents = 1,255 / 217,290 = 0.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, for the overall benefits provided by the Services to the Assessment Area, it is determined that 0.58% of the benefits is received by the parcels within two miles of the Assessment Area boundaries. Recognizing that this calculation is an approximation, this benefit will be rounded up to 1.0%.

---

**Benefit to Property Inside the District that is Indirect and Derivative**

The "indirect and derivative" benefit to property within the Assessment Area is particularly difficult to calculate. As explained above, all benefit within the Assessment Area is special because the mosquito and disease control services in the Assessment Area would provide direct service and protection that is clearly "over and above" and "particular and distinct" when compared with the level of such protection under current conditions. Further the properties are within the Assessment Area boundaries and this Engineer's Report demonstrates the direct benefits received by individual properties from mosquito and disease control services.

In determining the Assessment Area, the District had been careful to limit it to an area of parcels that would directly receive the Services. All parcels directly benefit from the surveillance, monitoring and treatment that will be provided on an equivalent basis throughout the Assessment Area in order to maintain the same improved level of protection against mosquitoes and other vectors and reduced mosquito and vector populations throughout the area. The surveillance and monitoring sites are spread on a balanced basis throughout the area. Mosquito and vector control and treatment are provided as needed throughout the area based on the surveillance and monitoring results. The shared special benefit - reduced mosquito levels and reduced presence of vector-borne diseases - are received on an equivalent basis by all parcels in the Assessment Area. Furthermore, all parcels in the Assessment Area directly benefit from the ability to request service from the District and to have a District field technician promptly respond directly to the parcel and address the owner's or resident's service need. The SVTA vs. SCCOSA decision indicates that the fact that a benefit is conferred throughout the Assessment Area does not make the benefit general rather than special, so long as the Assessment Area is narrowly drawn and limited to the parcels directly receiving shared special benefits from the service. The District therefore concludes that, other than the small general benefit to properties outside the Assessment Area (discussed above) and to the public at large (discussed below), all of the benefits of the Services to the parcel's within the Assessment Area are special benefits and it is not possible or appropriate to separate any general benefits from the benefits conferred on parcels in the Assessment Area.

**Benefit to The Public At Large**

With the type and scope of Services to be provided to the Assessment Area, it is very difficult to calculate and quantify the scope of the general benefit conferred on the public at large. Because the Services directly serve and benefit all of the property in the Assessment Area, any general benefit conferred on the public at large is small. Nevertheless, there is some indirect general benefit to the public at large.

The public at large uses the public highways, streets and sidewalks, and when traveling in and through the Assessment Area they will benefit from the Services. A fair and appropriate measure of the general benefit to the public at large therefore is the amount of highway, street and sidewalk area within the Assessment Area relative to the overall land area. An analysis of maps of the Assessment Area shows that approximately 4% of the land area in the Assessment Area is covered by highways, streets and sidewalks. This 4% therefore is
a fair and appropriate measure of the general benefit to the public at large within the Assessment Area.

**Summary of General Benefits**

Using a sum of the measures of general benefit for the public at large and land outside the Assessment Area, we find that approximately 4.6% of the benefits conferred by the Mosquito and Disease Control Assessment may be general in nature and should be funded by sources other than the Assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Benefit Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0% (Outside the District)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 0.0% (Property within the Assessment District)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 3.6% (Public at Large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 4.6% (Total General Benefit)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although this analysis supports the findings that 4.6% of the assessment may provide general benefit only, this number is increased by the Assessment Engineer to 10% to conservatively ensure that no assessment revenue is used to support general benefit. This additional amount allocated to general benefit also covers general benefit to parcels in the Assessment Area, if it is later determined that there is some general benefit conferred on those parcels.

The Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control assessment total budget for mosquito and vector abatement, disease control, and capital improvement is $9,567,010. Of this total budget amount, the District will contribute $7,156,234, or 73.32% of the total budget from sources other than the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control assessment. This contribution more than offsets any general benefits from the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment Services.

**Zones of Benefit**

The District’s mosquito, vector, and disease control programs, projects and Services that will be funded by the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment will be provided in all areas within the District’s boundaries. Parcels of similar type in the District would receive similar mosquito and vector abatement benefits on a per parcel and land area basis.

The SVTA vs. SCCOSA decision indicates:
In a well-drawn district — limited to only parcels receiving special benefits from the improvement — every parcel within that district receives a shared special benefit. Under section 2, subdivision (i), these benefits can be construed as being general benefits since they are not "particular and distinct" and are not "over and above" the benefits received by other properties "located in the district."

We do not believe that the voters intended to invalidate an assessment district that is narrowly drawn to include only properties directly benefiting from an improvement. Indeed, the ballot materials reflect otherwise. Thus, if an assessment district is narrowly drawn, the fact that a benefit is conferred throughout the district does not make it general rather than special. In that circumstance, the characterization of a benefit may depend on whether the parcel receives a direct advantage from the improvement (e.g., proximity to park) or receives an indirect, derivative advantage resulting from the overall public benefits of the improvement (e.g., general enhancement of the district’s property values).

In 2009 and 2010, the District completed an analysis of service levels throughout its boundaries. In particular, the District evaluated service levels in regard to its core services including surveillance, larviciding and service requests, and confirmed that service levels and benefits are essentially equivalent across all parcels, except as described below. Regarding service requests, the District will respond to any parcel located within the District, regardless of how remote, and provide mosquito control services appropriate to the situation. Similarly, larvicide applications generally are applied throughout the District.

However, the District’s evaluation showed that service levels did vary slightly in two distinct ways, and has created three zones accordingly:

- Zone A: Special Service Level
- Zone B: Reduced Special Service Level
- Zone C: Special Service Level with Extraordinary Habitat

**ZONE A: SPECIAL SERVICE AREA**

This zone includes all parcels that receive the typical service level provided by the District. Over 80% of the District parcels are within this zone.

**ZONE B: REDUCED SPECIAL SERVICE LEVEL**

This zone includes parcels that receive a slightly lower level of service due to their remote location and sparse population. Zone B includes a large area in the southwest corner of the District as well as three smaller areas along the east edge. There are approximately 493 parcels in Zone B. Zone B parcels receive a reduction in assessment, corresponding to the reduced service level, of 5%.
**ZONE C: SPECIAL SERVICE LEVEL WITH EXTRAORDINARY HABITAT**

This zone includes parcels that receive a slightly higher level of service resulting from their environment and topography within the Delta, and the fact that these parcels are ideal mosquito habitat. In order to maintain an acceptable service level, the District expends additional resources in this area - without these services, the utility of these parcels would be compromised. Zone C includes parcels within the Delta due west of Stockton. There are approximately 43,080 parcels in Zone C. Zone C parcels receive an increase in assessment, corresponding to the increased service level, of 5%. The maximum authorized rate is $10.26 and the rate for Zone C is below the maximum authorized rate.

**METHOD OF ASSESSMENT**

As previously discussed, the Assessments fund enhanced, comprehensive, year-round mosquito and vector control, disease surveillance and control Services that will reduce mosquito and vector populations on property and will clearly confer special benefits to properties in the Assessment Area. These benefits can also partially be measured by the occupants on property in the Improvement District because such parcel population density is a measure of the relative benefit a parcel receives from the improvements. Therefore, the apportionment of benefit is partially based the population density of parcels. It should be noted that many other types of "traditional" assessments also use parcel population densities to apportion the assessments. For example, the assessments for sewer systems, roads and water systems are typically allocated based on the population density of the parcels assessed.

Moreover, assessments have a long history of use in California and are in large part based on the principle that any benefits from a service or improvement funded by assessments that is enjoyed by tenants and other non-property owners ultimately is conferred directly to the underlying property.21

With regard to benefits and source locations, the assessment engineer determined that since mosquitoes and other vectors readily fly from their breeding locations to all properties in their flight range and since mosquitoes are actually attracted to properties occupied by people or animals, the benefits from mosquito and vector control extend beyond the source locations to all properties that would be a "destination" for mosquitoes and other vectors. In other words, the control and abatement of mosquito and vector populations ultimately

---

21 For example, in Federal Construction Co. v. Ensign (1922) 59 Cal. App. 200 at 211, the appellate court determined that a sewer system specially benefited property even though the direct benefit was to the people who used the sewers: "Practically every inhabitant of a city either is the owner of the land on which he resides or on which he pursues his vocation, or he is the tenant of the owner, or is the agent or servant of such owner or of such tenant. And since it is the inhabitants who make by far the greater use of a city's sewer system, it is to them, as lot owners or as tenants, or as the servants or agents of such lot owners or tenants, that the advantages of actual use will redound. But this advantage of use means that, in the final analysis, it is the lot owners themselves who will be especially benefited in a financial sense."
confers benefits to all properties that are a destination of mosquitoes and vectors, rather than just those that are sources of mosquitoes.

Although some primary mosquito and vector sources may be located outside of residential areas, residential properties can and do generate their own, often significant, populations of mosquitoes and vector organisms. For example, storm water catch basins in residential areas are a common source of mosquitoes. Since the typical flight range for a female mosquito, on average is 2 miles, most homes in the Assessment Area are within the flight zone of many mosquito sources. Moreover, there are many other common residential sources of mosquitoes, such as miscellaneous backyard containers, neglected swimming pools, leaking water pipes and tree holes. Clearly, there is a potential for mosquito sources on virtually all types of property. More importantly, all properties in the Assessment Area are within the destination range of mosquitoes and most properties are actually within the destination range of multiple mosquito source locations.

Because the Services will be provided throughout the Assessment Area with the same level of control objective in each zone, mosquitoes can rapidly and readily fly from their breeding locations to other properties over a large area, and because there are current or potential breeding sources literally everywhere in the Assessment Area, the Assessment Engineer determined that all similar properties in the Assessment Area have generally equivalent mosquito "destination" potential and, therefore, receive equivalent levels of benefit within areas in a same Zone of Benefit.

In the process of determining the appropriate method of assessment, the Engineer considered various alternatives. For example, a fixed assessment amount per parcel for all residential improved property was considered but was determined to be inappropriate because agricultural lands, commercial property and other property also receive benefits from the assessments. Likewise, an assessment exclusively for agricultural land was considered but deemed inappropriate because other types of property, such as residential and commercial, also receive the special benefit factors described previously.

A fixed or flat assessment was deemed to be inappropriate because larger residential, commercial and industrial properties receive a higher degree of benefit than other similarly used properties that are significantly smaller. (For two properties used for commercial purposes, there is clearly a higher benefit provided to a property that covers several acres in comparison to a smaller commercial property that is on a 0.25 acre site. The larger property generally has a larger coverage area and higher usage by employees, customers, tourists and guests that would benefit from reduced mosquito and vector populations, as well as the reduced threat from diseases carried by mosquitoes and other vectors. This benefit ultimately flows to the property.) Larger commercial, industrial and apartment parcels, therefore, receive an increased benefit from the assessments.

In conclusion, the assessment engineer determined that the appropriate method of assessment apportionment should be based on the type and use of property, the relative
size of the property, its relative population and usage potential, and its destination potential for mosquitoes. This method is further described below.

**Assessment Apportionment**

The special benefits derived from the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment are conferred on property and are not based on a specific property owner’s occupancy of property or the property owner’s demographic status, such as age or number of dependents. However, it is ultimately people who do or could use the property and who enjoy the special benefits described above. Therefore, the opportunity to use and enjoy the region within the Assessment Area without the excessive nuisance, diminished “livability” or the potential health hazards brought by mosquitoes, vectors, and the diseases they carry is a special benefit to properties in the Assessment Area. This benefit can in part be measured by the number of people who potentially live on, work at, visit or otherwise use the property, because people ultimately determine the value of the benefits by choosing to live, work and/or recreate in the area, and by choosing to purchase property in the area. 22

In order to apportion the cost of the Services to property, each property in the Assessment Area is assigned a relative special benefit factor. This process involves determining the relative benefit received by each property in relation to a single family home, or, in other words, on the basis of Single Family Equivalents (SFE). This SFE methodology is commonly used to distribute assessments in proportion to estimated special benefit. For the purposes of this Engineer’s Report, all properties are designated a SFE value, which is each property's relative benefit in relation to a “benchmark” parcel in the Assessment Area. The “benchmark” property is the single family detached dwelling on a parcel of less than one acre. This benchmark parcel is assigned one Single Family Equivalent benefit unit or one SFE.

The special benefit conferred upon a specific parcel is derived as a sum function of the applicable special benefit type (such as improved safety (i.e. disease risk reduction) on a parcel for a mosquito assessment) and a parcel-specific attributes (such as the number of residents living on the parcel for a mosquito assessment) which supports that special benefit. Calculated special benefit increases accordingly with an increase in the product of special benefit type and supportive parcel-specific attribute.

The calculation of the special benefit per parcel is summarized in the following equation:

\[
\text{Special Benefit (per property)} = \sum f (\text{Special Benefits}) \times \sum f (\text{Property Specific Attributes}^i)
\]

1. Such as use, property type, and size.

22 It should be noted that the benefits conferred upon property are related to the average number of people who could potentially live on, work at or otherwise could use a property, not how the property is currently used by the present owner.
RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES

Certain residential properties in the Assessment Area that contain a single residential dwelling unit are assigned one Single Family Equivalent or 1.0 SFE. Traditional houses, zero-lot line houses, and town homes are included in this category of single family residential property.

Single family residential properties in excess of one acre receive additional benefit relative to a single family home on less than one acre, because the larger parcels provide more area for mosquito sources and District vector Services. Therefore, such larger parcels receive additional benefits relative to a single family home on less than one acre and are assigned 1.0 SFE for the residential unit and an additional rate of 0.0021 SFE per one-fourth acre of land area in excess of one acre. Mobile home parcels on a separate parcel and in excess of one acre also receive this additional acreage rate.

Other types of properties with residential units, such as agricultural properties, are assigned the residential SFE rates for the dwelling units on the property and are assigned additional SFE benefit units for the agricultural-use land area.

Properties with more than one residential unit are designated as multi-family residential properties. These properties, along with condominiums, benefit from the Services in proportion to the number of dwelling units that occupy each property, the average number of people who reside in each property, and the average size of each property in relation to a single family home in the District. This Report analyzed San Joaquin County population density factors from the 2000 US Census as well as average dwelling unit size for each property type. After determining the Population Density Factor and Square Footage Factor for each property type, an SFE rate is generated for each residential property structure, as indicated in Figure 3 below.

The SFE factor of 0.32 per dwelling unit for multifamily residential properties applies to such properties with one to twenty units. Properties in excess of twenty units typically offer on-site management, monitoring and other control services that tend to offset some of the benefits provided by the Mosquito and Vector Control Assessment District. Therefore the benefit for properties in excess of 20 units is determined to be 0.32 SFE per unit for the first 20 units and 0.10 SFE per each additional unit in excess of 20 dwelling units.

### Figure 3 - Residential Assessment Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Occupied Households</th>
<th>Persons per Household</th>
<th>Pop. Density Equivalent</th>
<th>SqFt Factor</th>
<th>Proposed Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family Residential</td>
<td>394,029</td>
<td>125,355</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condominium</td>
<td>35,551</td>
<td>10,767</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex, Triplex, Fourplex</td>
<td>37,162</td>
<td>12,605</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family Residential</td>
<td>57,101</td>
<td>24,462</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Home</td>
<td>16,072</td>
<td>8,053</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Source: 2000 Census, San Joaquin County and property dwelling size information from the San Joaquin County Assessor data and other sources.

COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL PROPERTIES

Commercial and industrial properties are generally open and operated for more limited times, relative to residential properties. Therefore, the relative hours of operation can be used as a measure of benefits, since employee density also provides a measure of the relative benefit to property. Since commercial and industrial properties are typically open and occupied by employees approximately one-half the time of residential properties, it is reasonable to assume that commercial land uses receive one-half of the special benefit on a land area basis relative to single family residential property.

The average size of a single family home with 1.0 SFE factor in the District is 0.25 acres. Therefore, a commercial property with 0.25 acres receives one-half the relative benefit, or a 0.50 SFE factor.

The SFE values for various commercial and industrial land uses are further defined by using average employee densities because the special benefit factors described previously are also related to the average number of people who work at commercial/industrial properties.

To determine employee density factors, this Report utilizes the findings from the San Diego County Association of Governments Traffic Generators Study (the “SANDAG Study”) because these findings were approved by the State Legislature which determined the SANDAG Study to be a good representation of the average number of employees per acre of land area for commercial and industrial properties. As determined by the SANDAG Study, the average number of employees per acre for commercial and industrial property is 24. As presented in Table 2, the SFE factors for other types of businesses are determined relative to their typical employee density in relation to the average of 24 employees per acre of commercial property.

Commercial and industrial properties in excess of 5 acres generally involve uses that are more land intensive relative to building areas and number of employees (lower coverage ratios). As a result, the benefit factors for commercial and industrial property land area in excess of 5 acres is determined to be the SFE rate per fourth acre for the first 5 acres and the relevant SFE rate per each additional acre over 5 acres. Institutional properties that are used for residential, commercial or industrial purposes are also assessed at the appropriate residential, commercial or industrial rate.

Self-storage and golf course property benefit factors are similarly based on average usage densities. Table 2 below lists the benefit assessment factors for such business properties.

AGRICULTURAL, RANGELAND, AND CEMETERY PROPERTIES

Utilizing research and agricultural employment reports from UC Davis and the California Employment Development Department and other sources, this Report calculated an average usage density of 0.05 people per acre for agriculture property, 0.01 for rangelands.
and timber and 1.2 for cemeteries. Since these properties typically are a source of mosquitos and/or are typically closest to other sources of mosquitos and other vectors, it is reasonable to determine that the benefit to these properties is twice the usage density ratio of commercial and industrial properties. The SFE factors per 0.25 acres of land area are shown in the following Figure 4.

**Figure 4 – Commercial/Industrial Benefit Assessment Factors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Commercial/Industrial Land Use</th>
<th>Average Usage Per Acre</th>
<th>SFE Units per Fraction Acre</th>
<th>SFE Units per Acre After 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>1.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping Center</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self Storage or Parking Lot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winery</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangelands/Timber</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.00042</td>
<td>0.00042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Source: San Diego Association of Governments Traffic Generators Study, University of California, Davis and other studies and sources.

2. The SFE factors for commercial and industrial parcels indicated above are applied to each fourth acre of land area or portion thereof. (Therefore, the minimum assessment for any assessable parcel in these categories is the SFE Units listed herein.)

**Vacant Properties**

The benefit to vacant properties is determined to be proportional to the corresponding benefits for similar type developed properties. However, vacant properties are assessed at a lower rate due to the lack of active benefits, as measured by use by residents, employees, customers and guests. A measure of the benefits accruing to the underlying land is the average value of land in relation to improvements for developed property. An analysis of the assessed valuation data from the County of San Joaquin found that 30% of the assessed value of improved properties is classified as land value. Since vacant properties have very low to zero population/use densities until they are developed, a 50% benefit discount is applied to the valuation factor of 0.30 to account for the current low use density and potential for harm or nuisance to the property owner or his residents, employees, customers and guests. The combination of these measures results in a 0.15 factor. It is reasonable to assume, therefore, that approximately 15% of the benefits are related to the underlying land and 85% are related to the day-to-day use of the property. Using this ratio, the SFE factor for vacant parcels is 0.15 per parcel.

**Other Properties**

Article XIIID stipulates that publicly owned properties must be assessed unless those properties are reasonably determined to receive no special benefit from the assessment.
All properties that are specially benefited are assessed. Publicly owned property that is used for purposes similar to private residential, commercial, industrial or institutional uses is benefited and assessed at the same rate as such privately owned property.

Other public properties such as watershed parcels, parks, open space parcels are determined to, on average, receive similar benefits as a single family home. Therefore such parcels are assessed an SFE benefit factor of 1. Miscellaneous, small and other parcels such as roads, right-of-way parcels, and common areas typically do not generate significant numbers of employees, residents, customers or guests and have limited economic value. These miscellaneous parcels receive minimal benefit from the Services and are assessed an SFE benefit factor of 0.

Church parcels, institutional properties, and property used for educational purposes typically generate employees on a less consistent basis than other non-residential parcels. Many of these properties with higher population factors provide on-site management, monitoring and other control services that tend to offset some of the benefits provided by the mosquito and vector control district. Therefore, these parcels are determined to, on average, receive similar benefits as a single family home. Therefore such parcels are assessed an SFE benefit factor of 1.

**Duration of Assessment**

The Assessments were approved to be levied for fiscal year 2006-07 and continued every year thereafter, so long as vectors remain in existence and the District requires funding from the Assessment for its Services. Therefore, the Assessment can be continued annually after the District board approves an annually updated Engineer’s Report, budget for the Assessment, Services to be provided and other specifics of the Assessment. In addition, the District board must hold an annual public hearing to continue the Assessment.

**Appeals and Interpretation**

Any property owner who feels that the assessment levied on the subject property is in error as a result of incorrect information being used to apply the foregoing method of assessment, may file a written appeal with the District Manager of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District or his or her designee. Any such appeal is limited to correction of an assessment during the then current fiscal year or, if before July 1, the upcoming fiscal year. Upon the filing of any such appeal, the District Manager or his or her designee will promptly review the appeal and any information provided by the property owner. If the District Manager or his or her designee finds that the assessment should be modified, the appropriate changes shall be made to the assessment roll. If any such changes are approved after the assessment roll has been filed with the San Joaquin County for collection, the District Manager or his or her designee is authorized to refund to the property owner the amount of any approved reduction. Any dispute over the decision of the District Manager, or his or her designee, shall be referred to the Board. The decision of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District Board of Trustees shall be final.
WHEREAS, the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District Board of Trustees contracted with the undersigned Engineer of Work to prepare and file a report presenting an estimate of costs of Services, a diagram for the benefit assessment area, an assessment of the estimated costs of Services, and the special and general benefit conferred thereby upon all assessable parcels within the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment;

NOW THEREFORE, the undersigned, by virtue of the power vested in me under Article XIIIIC of the California Constitution, the Government Code and the Health and Safety Code and the order of the District Board of Trustees, hereby make the following determination of an assessment to cover the portion of the estimated cost of the Services, and the costs and expenses incidental thereto to be paid by the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment.

The District has evaluated and estimated the costs of extending and providing the Services to the Assessment Area. The estimated costs are summarized in Figure 1 and detailed in Figure 5, below.

The amount to be paid for said Services and the expenses incidental thereto, to be paid by the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District for the fiscal year 2019-20 is generally as follows:

**FIGURE 5 – SUMMARY COST ESTIMATE FISCAL YEAR 2019-20 BUDGET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vector Control and Disease Prevention Operations</td>
<td>$5,525,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials, Utilities and Supplies</td>
<td>$3,076,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment and Fixed Assets</td>
<td>$921,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidents</td>
<td>$50,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BUDGET</td>
<td>$9,567,010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less:
- District Contribution & Current Rev.     | ($7,156,234) |
- Transfers to (from) reserves              | ($336,613)   |

**Net Amount Due to Assessments**

$2,074,163

An Assessment Diagram is hereto attached and made a part hereof showing the exterior boundaries of the Assessment Area. The distinctive number of each parcel or lot of land in the said Assessment area is its Assessor Parcel Number appearing on the Assessment Roll.

I do hereby determine and apportion the net amount of the cost and expenses of the Services, including the costs and expenses incidental thereto, upon the parcels and lots of
land within said Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment, in accordance with the 
special benefits to be received by each parcel or lot, from the Services, and more particularly 
set forth in this Engineer’s Report.

The assessment determination is made upon the parcels or lots of land within the 
assessment area in proportion to the special benefits to be received by the parcels or lots of 
land, from the Services.

The assessment is subject to an annual adjustment tied to the Consumer Price Index for the 
San Francisco Bay Area as of December of each succeeding year (the “CPI”), with a 
maximum annual CPI adjustment not to exceed 3%. Any change in the CPI in excess of 3% 
shall be cumulatively reserved as the "Unused CPI" and shall be used to increase the 
maximum authorized assessment rate in years in which the CPI is less than 3%. The 
maximum authorized assessment rate is equal to the maximum assessment rate in the first 
fiscal year the assessment was levied adjusted annually by the minimum of 1) 3% or 2) the 
change in the CPI plus any Unused CPI as described above.

The change in the CPI from December 2017 to December 2018 was 4.49% and the Unused 
CPI carried forward from the previous fiscal year is 0.64%. Therefore, the maximum 
authorized assessment rates for fiscal year 19-20 are increased by 3% which equates to 
$10.02 for Zone A, $9.52 for Zone B, and $10.58 for Zone C, per single family equivalent 
benefit unit. The estimate of cost and budget in this Engineer’s Report proposes 
assessments for fiscal year 2019-20 at the following rates which are less than the maximum 
authorized assessment rates:

- **ZONE A**: Eight Dollars and Eighty-Four Cents ($8.84) per single family equivalent 
  unit (SFE).
- **ZONE B**: Eight Dollars and Forty Cents ($8.40) per single family equivalent 
  unit (SFE).
- **ZONE C**: Nine Dollars and Twenty-Nine Cents ($9.29) per single family equivalent 
  unit (SFE).

Each parcel or lot of land is described in the Assessment Roll by reference to its parcel 
number as shown on the Assessor’s Maps of the County of San Joaquin for the fiscal year 
2019-20. For a more particular description of the property, reference is hereby made to the 
deeds and maps on file and of record in the office of the County Assessor of the County of 
San Joaquin.

I hereby place opposite the Assessor Parcel Number for each parcel or lot within the 
Assessment Roll, the proposed amount of the assessment for the fiscal year 2019-20 for 
each parcel or lot of land within the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment 
District.
Dated: May 21, 2019

Engineer of Work

By

John W. Bliss, License No. C52091
**Assessment Diagram**

The Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment area includes all properties within the boundaries of the District.

The boundaries of the Mosquito, Vector and Disease Control Assessment Area are displayed on the following Assessment Diagram.
ASSessment Roll

Reference is hereby made to the Assessment Roll in and for the assessment proceedings on file in the office of the San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District, as the Assessment Roll is too voluminous to be bound with this Report.
6. UPDATE ON STOCKTON OFFICE LOBBY AND MEN'S LOCKER / RESTROOM REMODEL PROJECT

As reported last month, the District's staff prepped the west-side of the Office building for demolition by the contractor, by removing all office furniture and file cabinets; however, due to some additional required engineering evaluations for the window replacements, the work in the office building is at a standstill.

Regarding the shop building, the plumbers completed the necessary sewer line for the men's restroom, and the concrete foundation has been poured. Kleinfeider completed the special engineering inspection for the masonry work which cleared the way for erection of the walls of the restroom. The walls should be completed by the end of the week allowing the carpentry work to begin on the roof line.

The ready-room / break-room area of the project is complete with only window coverings left to install. This area is now available for daily use.

Additional information will be provided during the Board meeting.

This item is for information only.